Land and Lineage: The McEneryhynys of Ballysallagh in the Sixteenth Century

LUKE McINERNEY

This paper extends on the author’s 2008 article in the *Other Clare* journal which referenced a sixteenth century feud between branches of the McEneryhynys (McInerney) lineage of Ballysallagh in Kilnasoolagh parish, County Clare. This paper details the context behind the intra-sept feud amongst the McEneryhynys deirbhshíne in light of a discovered Court of Chancery bill. The paper will explore conflict among the extended kinfolk of Irish septs and present evidence on landholding arrangements in the sixteenth century Mac Connara lordship of West *Clann Chuiléin*.

The McEneryhynys sept of Kilnasoolagh parish in the ancient territorial division of *Tradraighe* in Thomond were an important landholding lineage in the sixteenth century. As a second-order sept in the Mac Connara lordship of West *Clann Chuiléin* the lineage – known in Irish as *Clann an Oirchinnigh*² – held extensive lands in that parish and the adjacent parishes of Quin, Killmaleery and Clonlogan. The principal abode of the sept, however, centered on Ballysallagh in Kilnasoolagh parish and their patrimonial lands situated there since at least c.1400. Evidence to this end can be found amongst references to clerics of the ‘noble’ or landholding branch of the McEneryhynys in ecclesiastical documents.

In the sixteenth century the McEneryhynys were recorded in official documents of the New-English administration. Employing official sources—including the fortuitous survival of a Court of Chancery bill and inquisition material—we can construct a convincing picture of the McEneryhynys lineage at Ballysallagh. The recording of a land dispute between two branches of the sept for the period 1565-1632 is of particular interest as it spans the turbulent period when customary Irish law was replaced by English common law and when landholding arrangements and social organization changed markedly. It is thereby possible to provide a ‘micro-study’ of the McEneryhynys sept in the sixteenth century and extrapolate information that can be used to understand other sept-lineages of similar status.

The paper explores the possibility of utilizing surviving documentation to cast light on an under-explored topic: landholding and conflict in the sixteenth century lordship of West *Clann Chuiléin*. It is hoped that the micro-study attempted in this article can

---

1 The author wishes to acknowledge the useful assistance in the preparation of this article by Brian Ó Dálaigh, Stuart Wrathmell and Martin Breen and assistance given regarding Petworth House manuscripts by Alison McCann at West Sussex Record Office, Chichester.

2 *Mac an Oirchinnigh* (son of the erenagh). The surname is numerous in County Clare where the lineage were a sept of the ruling Mac Connara, with related branches in North Tipperary and Limerick. The name was recorded by Gaelic ecclesiastics in fifteenth century Papal correspondence in its compact form ‘Macmerycheyn’ (*Mac an Oirchinn*) and in the fuller form ‘Macanameryhynigh’. Early spellings give full expression to the internal guttural ‘ch’ phonetic and suggest a hard syllablistic ending. The former was retained in the sixteenth century spelling ‘McEneryhyn’ which prevailed in Irish speaking districts in Clare. In this article I use the spelling ‘McEneryhynys’ as it approximates the general sixteenth century spelling of McInerney. On spellings in the Papal Letters see Luke McInerney *Clerics and Clansmen: The Vicarages and Rectories of Tradraighe in the Fifteenth Century*, *North Munster Antiquarian Journal*, Vol. 48 (2008) pp 1-21.
provide a template for similar research into sept lineages in other Gaelic lordships, as well as present an assessment of sept organisation at the local level.

Assessment of Sources
It is well known that research into sixteenth century Gaelic Ireland is fraught with difficulty. The loss of official records on account of neglect, fire and deliberate targeting of Gaelic manuscripts by English soldiers have all taken their toll. Careful application of diverse sources can throw new light on sept-lineages in Gaelic lordships at a time of transition and anglicisation. Much has been published on the ruling lineages of the Uí Bhriain and Mac Conmara and recent research has also focused on the dynamics of the clan system in Thomond, although this line of enquiry is still at its early stages. The application of historical sources—Irish genealogies, administrative records and maps—present an array of collective minutiae that can augment our understanding of sept-lineages. Fortunately for the historian of sixteenth century Thomond an assortment of historical sources survive and are accessible in public institutions. With prudent assessment we can reconstruct the matrix of settlement and landholding that prevailed amongst the lesser sept-lineages.

This paper relies chiefly on administrative sources which have limitations in their accuracy and intent, but taken as a whole present a compelling window on McEneryhyny freeholders at Ballysallagh. The State Papers of Ireland provide a backdrop to events occurring locally, while the Irish Fiants provide a glimpse into ownership arrangements of sept-lands and reads almost like a census distinguishing between gentlemen, yeomen, husbandsmen, labourers and kern and provides evidence on kinship bonds. Petworth House Archives are the repository of rent ledgers and correspondence of the Earls of Thomond—later Earls of Egremont—and hold valuable sixteenth and early seventeenth century estate records including the 1619 inquisition into lands held by Donough O’Brien, Fourth Earl of Thomond, (PHA B.26.T.16) and the 1624 inquisition post mortem of Donough O’Brien (PHA Ms 1141).

The inquisition material transcribed by James Frost remains a touchstone for research into Thomond. The recording, in abstract form, of 218 inquisitions post mortem prior to their destruction in 1922, furnishes the historian with a powerful tool.

---

7 The Irish Fiants of the Tudor Sovereigns: During the Reigns of Henry VIII, Edward VI, Phillip & Mary, and Elizabeth I, Eamonn de Búrca Publisher, Dublin, 1994.
for analysis. The usefulness of this source comes caveated with the point that inconsistencies and editing by Frost limits the utility of the inquisition material. Despite this, the material does not lose its chief utility and can be regarded as credible.\textsuperscript{10} Less known is the transcribing, in full, of an inquisition of the Court of Exchequer held at Galway in 1586 by the antiquarian R.W. Twigge.\textsuperscript{11} This inquisition has recently been the subject of analysis and remains the most important surviving document concerning the Mac Conmara lordship of West Clann Chuiléin.\textsuperscript{12}

The published 1570 and 1574 “castle lists”\textsuperscript{13} are a unique source to the historian and provide commentary about the owners of tower-houses which can be corroborated against other long-standing sources for historical scholarship such as the 1585 \textit{Composiciion Booke of Conought},\textsuperscript{14} and the highly valuable compilation, the \textit{Inchiquin Manuscripts}.\textsuperscript{15} The latter source is particularly useful in determining land transactions and pinpointing specific individuals.

This article focuses on a Court of Chancery bill that was salvaged from the 1922 fire in the Public Records Office. This bill provides a detailed backdrop to a series of inquisitions into the landholding arrangements of McEnerrhiny freeholders at Ballysallagh. The Court of Chancery in Ireland was important in determining arbitrated outcomes concerning land disputes and was a popular avenue for Gaelic freeholders who sought redress under the law of equity. It was Dutch settler Matthew de Renzi who noted the tenaciousness that the freeholders who pursued legal cases showed—not a surprising point given the high stakes involved in alienating sept-land and the possibility of violent conflict never too far from a litigant’s mind.\textsuperscript{16} Court of Chancery documents expose detail concerning land transactions and hereditaments, making them a useful primary source for delving into the world of litigation amongst freeholders, and the application of English law in previously self-governing Gaelic lordships.

Research into Gaelic sept-lineages is greatly assisted by the publication of the Papal Letters relating to Ireland,\textsuperscript{17} especially for the period 1396-1521. This valuable compilation of ecclesiastical correspondence, along with the lesser known \textit{Regestum Supplicationum}\textsuperscript{18} which records petitions for Irish benefices, offer amassed information concerning ecclesiastical administration in Gaelic dioceses. These sources underpin evidence concerning the status and geographic locus of the McEnerrhiny sept from c.1400 and provide useful clues regarding the sept’s ecclesiastical connections. Later ecclesiastical sources such as Bishop Worth’s Account Book of 1661\textsuperscript{19} are valuable in determining tenurial arrangements on termon lands in Killaloe diocese in the early 1600s.


\textsuperscript{11} R.W. Twigge, Materials for a History of Clann Caillein, Add Ms 39260, Twigge Collection, British Library, pp 180-186.


\textsuperscript{14} A. Martin Freeman (ed.), \textit{The Composiciion Booke of Conought}, Irish Manuscripts Commission, Dublin, 1936.


\textsuperscript{18} \textit{ASy Regestum Supplicationum}, [microfilm record], National Library of Ireland.

\textsuperscript{19} Ms 1777, Typescript copy of a survey of lands in the diocese of Killaloe made for Bishop Worth, 1661, transcribed by (Rev) James B. Leslie, National Library of Ireland, 1936. The original manuscript can be accessed at the library of the Representative Church Body, Dublin [Ms D.14/1].
Gaelic manuscripts are an under-utilized source for the local historian. A careful study of Gaelic material can aid research into sept origins. The arcane world of genealogies, many copied from an original exemplar of medieval antiquity, are valuable in so far as recording the landholding segment of a sept-lineage. In the words of one historian the genealogies are akin to an “obsolete telephone directory from some small, remote capital”. The accuracy of the Gaelic genealogies has been addressed elsewhere however difficulties of language, script and other idiosyncrasies confer an element of complexity on this source.

The principal Gaelic sources used in this paper include the saga-text Caiithreim Thoirdhealbhach produced by a member of the hereditary learned Mac Craith family in the mid-fourteenth century. While not recording contemporary events but rather events prior to 1318 and written as a highly stylized propaganda piece for the ruling Ui Bhrináin kings, references to sept-lineages are likely to be accurate. The genealogical text RIA Ms 23.H.22 which sets out the division of the McNerhiny sept into senior and junior branches is reputed to have been transcribed in the nineteenth century from a roll compiled by the professional poet-historian Maoilín Óg Mac Bruaidheadha in c.1588. This manuscript was also transcribed in the eighteenth century by Seán Ua Catháin and the practice of presenting the McNerhiny sept as two divided lineages is repeated, presumably as this was set down in the original exemplar.

The recording of the two branches of the McNerhiny sept is unique as it allows us to date the compilation of the pedigree to the late sixteenth century while cross-referencing with inquisition material the accuracy of the genealogy. In this manner, the genealogical tract can be concluded to be credible and was probably compiled to legitimise the seizure of the sept-lands by the ‘senior’ branch of the McNerhiny sept. The Mac Connara genealogy known as RIA Ms 23 L.37 and containing material from c.1380 was copied by a succession of scribes from the lost Leabhar Oiris compiled by the poetic-chronicler Uí Mhoilchonoraí family. This genealogy is useful in identifying the historic origins of various Mac Connara collateral sept-lineages, including the McNerhiny. Similarly, the Book of Lecan compiled in c.1418 by Gilla Ísu Mac Fhir Bhisigh, a hereditary historian, pinpoints the Mac Connara lineage and notes Donnchadh, the McNerhiny progenitor.

Bardic poetry can also provide evidence on the status of sept-lineages in a Gaelic lordship, though the patronage of bardic poets were the domain of the lordly families with the purpose of legitimising their suzerainty over subordinate vassal-septs. In particular, the poem Créad fá seachainn siol Aodha? compiled by Domhnall Ó Mhoilchonoraí for his patron Seán Mac Connara, Lord of West Clann Chuiléin, (RIA Ms 784) provides a different perspective on the role of kinship amongst the landholding sept-lineages.

---

20 On Clare genealogies faithfully republished from manuscripts see Seán Ó hÓgáin, Comtae an Cláir: A Triocha Agus A Tuaith, Oifig an TSiathair Baile Átha Cliath, 1938.
24 R.W. Twigg, Materials for a History of Clann Calein, Add Ms 39266, Twigg Collection, p.315 & p.317. Twigg records that RIA Ms 23 H.22, p.11 was “transcribed in 1803 by Peter O’Corell from the Roll written by Maoilín Óg Mac Bruaidheadha in the year A.D. 1588”.
25 See RIA Ms 23 G. 4, pp 400-401. I thank Kenneth W. Nicholls for identifying the link between these genealogies.
26 Kenneth Nicholls, “The Irish Genealogies: Their Value and Defects”, p.258.
McEnerhinys of Ballysallagh: A micro-study
A micro-study of the McEnerhinys sept of sixteenth century Ballysallagh is useful in determining the specifics of social organisation at the local level. Significant local variation existed amongst sixteenth century Gaelic lordships, and to this end a micro-study can more accurately reflect specifics of the social order. With careful application of sparse historical sources it is possible to reconstruct—albeit haphazardly and with a degree of retrospectivity—the landholding arrangements and role of vassal-septs in the Mac Conmara lordship. Greater engagement with historical sources can provide comment on freeholders as a reading of the Inchiquin Manuscripts and Petworth House Archive material reveals.

In the sixteenth century the McEnerhinys ranked amongst the most important landholding sept-lineage in the Mac Conmara lordship of West Clann Cuiilein. Despite not being a professional learned family the McEnerhinys can be classified as a leading vassal-sept of the Mac Conmara with a sept-estate second to the McClancy brachan clan in terms of size. Sources described the McEnerhinys as a ‘noble’ or aristocratic sept-lineage, indicating their status as a landholding lineage with kinship ties to the ruling Mac Conmara lineage.

According to the Gaelic genealogies the McEnerhinys (Mac an Oirchinnigh) were originally an erenagh sept, though it is uncertain which termon lands in east Clare they were attached to. The origins of the McEnerhinys have been discussed elsewhere; however it is worth recounting their reputed progenitor, Donnchadh Mac Conmara, featured in RIA Ms 23 L.37 whose original exemplar dates from c.1380.

RIA Ms 23 L.37 Genealogical tract: McEnerhiny descent from the Mac Conmara lineage

Donnall Eachtgha mac Conmhara mic Domhnll mhic Meanman mhic Aidhil Adhair.
Bhaidar tri mic lais a nDomhnall sin i. Cu Mara a quo Clann Mheigh Conmhara.
Donnchadh Oirchinnan a quo Clann Mhic an Oirchinn.iech. Maolseachluin Mhaineach a quo Gabhil Mhaolseachluinin Mhainicce.

30 On descriptions of the McEnerhinys as a noble sept-lineage see Nollaig Ó Muirife (ed) The Great Book of Irish Genealogies, Dublinach Nua Fhirbhisigh, Vol. III, De Bérra Publishing, Dublin, 2003, p.693. This traditional account cites Clann an Oirchinnigh (McEnerhinys) as among the nobles who descended from Caisin. Also see Anthony Bucklin’s Propagatione Catholicae Veritatis Libris s Constructum, in Deseque Partes Divisum. Pars Prima Historica in Quaque Libros, de Paris 1669 where he refers to the lineage of Dr Jeremias de Nernichy (Jeremy McEnerhny) who was killed by Cromwellian soldiers at Quin Friary in 1651 as “Tradire in Thomonia antiquae nobilitatis, magnamque que divitiarum (mhi probò notos) consecutia efi parentes?” (Jeremias de Nernichy of Tradire in Thomond was of a great ancient noble family [well known to me] of wealthy parentage).
32 RIA Ms 23 L.37, pp 172-3.
33 Printed in Seán Ó hOgáin, Connice an Cláir: A Triúnaigh Aisus A Tuatha, p.40 [footnote].
This genealogy connects Donnchadh to the ruling lineage of the Mac Conmara; that is, Donnchadh was the brother of Cumara Mac Conmara, king of Uí Chasín (d.1151). Donnchadh also appears in the Book of Lecan (c.1418) under the Mac Conmara genealogy. Other genealogies including the c.1588 genealogical text RIA Ms 23.H.22 (see left) sets down a full pedigree of the family and claims that Donnchadh served as an erenagh. By calculating generation timespans a twelfth century date for Donnchadh is plausible, placing him in a period of intense ecclesiastical activity in Thomond. This time-scale is also corroborated with the annalistic obituary for Cumara Mac Conmara, reputed brother of Donnchadh, killed in 1151 at the battle of Móin Mór. Other medieval references to McEnerhinyys occur in the 1309 battle of Kilgorey in the saga-text Caithréim Thoirdealbhach. The description of the sept in the text suggests an established lineage at that time.

Several observations can be made from these genealogical texts. First, they set down in lineal form the main segment of the McEnerhiny lineage. The names given are presumably a succession of sept-heads, (cneannfine) but there is no indication as to what form of succession was in operation. Second, the forenames in the genealogy can be crossed-referenced to other sources. Tomás, who was the common grandfather of the rival branches of the McEnerhiny sept and featured in the c.1588 genealogical text

McEnerhiny genealogy: c.1588

34 Kathleen Mulchrone (ed.), The Book of Lecan: Leabhar Mór Mhic Fhír Bhiasg Lecan, p.434.
35 For other genealogies that cite the origins of the Mac an Óireachtaigh see RIA Ms 23.N.12, pp 186-7. RIA Ms 23.H.25 p.86; RIA Ms D13 1.74v; RIA Ms E1v. 4(a) f.28. Also in published form see Analecta Hibernica No.18, O'Clery Book of Genealogies, (ed) Seán Ó Ríordáin, Irish Manuscripts Commission, Dublin Stationary Office, 1951, p.153.
36 RIA Ms 23.H.22, p.11. This claim is repeated in an early nineteenth century scribal note and pedigree by Conchubhar Mac an Óireachtaigh of Ballyvaun in County Clare: RIA Ms 24.M.40 96a.
37 Annals of the Four Masters, sub anno, 1151.
38 Sean Mac Ruaidhrí Mac Craith, Caithréim Thoirdealbhach, pp 40-41 & p.138. The McEnerhiny lineage is variously written in this text as 'Clann an Óireachtaigh' and 'Clann aghnabh Ali an Óireachtaigh' (warlike Clann an Óireachtaigh).
39 RIA Ms 23. H.22 p.11 (nineteenth century copy), reputedly compiled by Maoilín Óg Mac Brudairdha in c.1588. The name "Máthóin na mBána" at the top of the pedigree is Mahon (c.1548-1617) who features in the Chancery Bill in this article, the son of Seán (John, d.1565). The junior lineage is represented by Lochlainn who was killed at Carrigoran in 1573 and who heads up the second list.
McENERHINYS OF BALLYSALLAGH

(RIA Ms 23.H.22) flourished in c.1460. According to an eighteenth century list of castle builders Tomás mac Sheáin Mhic an Oirchinnigh is credited with erecting Dromoland and Ballyconneely tower-houses.40 A Tomás Mac an Oirchínne is also mentioned in a folklore scribed in English and Irish by Conor Ryan in 1825 regarding the McEnerhiny lands at Trennahow townland and Cowlclogher field in the vicinity of Shepperton House in Ballysallagh West.41 The forename Tomás does not occur in the fifteenth century Mac Connara or Mac Fhlanchnadh (McClancy) genealogies so its inclusion in the McEnerhiny genealogy and appearance in other sources confer a degree of credibility on these references. Taken together these references pin-point an individual McEnerhiny, and for the most part can be considered historically plausible; genealogies are especially valuable when they can be corroborated against the historical record. Tomás, however, is not mentioned in surviving annals. But his descendants, who clashed over the proprietorship of the sept-estate can be identified in the sixteenth century inquisition material and their agnatic relationship is accurately recorded in the c.1588 genealogical tract by Maoilín Óg Mac Bruaidheada.42

Sept-estate

The location of the McEnerhiny patrimonial lands has caused confusion amongst authorities. The Rev. Patrick Woulfe and Edward MacLysaght incorrectly identified the sept-estate at the “parish of Ballysally” (sic) and at “Ballycally”, respectively.43 Historical sources indicate the sept-estate comprised Ballysallagh East, Carrigoran, Corkanaknocknaun and Clonconnel44 which are clustered together on the western side of Kilnasoolagh parish. Additional lands belonged to the sept in Clonlohan parish with a cluster of McEnerhiny freeholders recorded at Lisconor and Caherteige in 1641.45 Other lands identified in 1641 include Ardbraghan in Kilmaley parish,46 Ballykilly in Quin parish,47 Derrie and Maghery in Templemainey parish48 and Kilnahon (part of Knocklatter [sic] Knockslattery) in Doora parish.49


41 While highly corrupted the story records the refusal of Tomás to grant land to St Catherine, supposed patroness of Kilfininan, Tempelenarin, Templecatherine and Kilnasoolagh, to erect a chapel. Tomás was cursed and died by choking. According to Máire Ni Ghrugáin of Kilnasoolagh, the field known as Cowlclogher is derived from Cabhail Cicheadh or convent ruin. Ms G 990 [Inchiquin Papers], “From a writing by Connor Ryan of Sixmilebridge”, dated May 11, 1825, National Library of Ireland. Also see Máire Ni Ghrugáin, “The Fair Monument” Treoir, 2008, p.14.

42 RIA Ms 23.H22, p.11.


46 Ibid., p.163. Totaling 40 statute acres.

47 Ibid., p.148. Totaling 601 statute acres with the entire townland under McEnerhiny possession.

48 Ibid., pp 126 & 130. Totaling 211 statute acres.

49 Ibid., p.131. Totaling 222 statute acres.
The morphology of landholding among freeholding septs was an important factor in the relationship between hereditary sept-lands, territorial hierarchies and ruling families. In central-east Clare, the freeholding septs yielded a tribute to the Mac Conmara Fionn at Dangan (Daingean Úi Bhigín) as their overlords and specific rent was paid out of certain quarters to the Úi Bhriain kings, later Earls of Thomond. Freeholding sept-estates were not homogenous and populated exclusively by related-kin, rather they comprised sub-tenants and labourers known in sixteenth century English sources as ‘churls’ and whose aggregated families are first encountered in the 1659 ‘census’ (ie 1660 Poll Tax). It was also not unusual that sept-lands had a mix of economic activity such as cropping, pasture, transhumance grazing (‘booleying’) and, in the case of the McEneryhiny lands at Ballykilty and Lecaroneighter, water-mills.

The McEneryhiny sept-estate was located in the Mac Conmara lordship of West Clann Chuiléin, or the Barony of Dangan-i-viggin as it was known in sixteenth century English sources. Documentary evidence suggests that the McEneryhiny sept-estate in the second half of the sixteenth century comprised three tiers of landholding. First, the ‘core’ lands that were held in common by the leading segment of the lineage (deirbhfhine) situated at Ballysallagh East, Carrigorain and Ballykilty. It is possible to distill from contemporary sources that these lands transferred between generations by partible inheritance. Shared occupation of the lands amongst McEneryhiny deirbhfhine kinsmen characterised settlement on these lands.

The frequency of redistribution of the lands remains unclear, but evidence suggests that local inheritance practices favoured seniority in landholding and redistribution may have occurred on the death of a coheir. In addition to the ‘core lands’ small parcels of lands situated nearby can be classified as comprising constituent parts of the core estate lands. Corkanaknockaun adjacent to Ballysallagh East, Clonconnell next to Kilnasoolagh and Lecaroneighter to the south of Ballykilty, fall into this category. In aggregate these lands—totaling 1,552 statute acres—must have comprised the demesne lands of the McEneryhiny sept. It is likely that an element of stability existed.


51 See Séamus Pender (ed.), A Census of Ireland Circa 1659, IMC, Stationary Office, Dublin, 1939. The population totals for the following were: Ballysallagh East (23), Ballysallagh West (41), Carrigorain (16), Kilnasoolagh (29), Trennaillow (9), Rathfionan (32) Ballykilty (10) Laccaroweleigh (14). These totals represent heads of households and should be multiplied by 4 to ascertain approximate totals. Patrick Nugent argues the interrelationship between settlement and population is closely related to the built environment in the late medieval period, evidenced by nucleation of communities around tower-houses and parish centres. The arable land of Kilnasoolagh parish and the dense network of tower-houses (around seven) resulted in a medium to high population evenly distributed. Patrick Nugent, “The Interrelationship between Population and Settlement in County Clare in the Seventeenth Century: the Evidence from the 1659 ‘Census’, in Clare: History and Society, Matthew Lynch, Patrick Nugent (eds), Geography Publications, Dublin, 2008, pp 79-104.

52 On references to the water-mill at Ballykilty see, for the year 1606, James Frost. A History and Topography of the County of Clare”, p.280 and the year 1635 when it was recorded “two ploughlands of Ballykilty, and the two mills thereon standing”, Ibid., p.329. Also see the reference to “two mills” in the Court of Chancery bill: Chancery Bills: Survivals from 1919 Collection, B. No.228, National Archives of Ireland. Two mills can be identified at Ballykilty in the 1656-58 Petty Map below.

53 Acreage totals from the Books of Survey and Distribution. Only 52 statute acres were classified as unprofitable, mainly identified as bog and waste, while 27 statute acres comprised Carrigorain lough.
around the core lands of the sept-estate from the fifteenth century and that the landholding matrix did not undergo significant change until the seventeenth century.\textsuperscript{54}

The second tier of landholding is more complex to identify but can be classified as individually inherited land and generally under the proprietorship of an individual freeholder. This land is of sixteenth century origin and probably did not have any patrimonial connection; its status, therefore, is difficult to deduce. This included lands mortgaged to McEnerhiny freeholders at Bohir Roger and Bradagh and at Dromoland in c.1603, a grant of land in the same year at Rathfoolanmore,\textsuperscript{55} as well as a parcel of land at Shanagheloyne in Ballymacragga.\textsuperscript{56} Knockslattery in Doora parish also passed into the inheritance of the McEnerhinys by way of dowry inheritance.\textsuperscript{57}

The final tier of landholding that characterised the McEnerhiny sept-estate is more difficult to identify. Ecclesiastical or ‘termon land’ attached to the bishopric of Killaloe were farmed by hereditary tenants settled on church lands under the stewardship of an erenagh. From a surviving inquisition of 1586 we know that Carrigoran, part of the ‘core’ sept-lands of the McEnerhinys, was regarded as belonging to the bishopric of Killaloe, along with Kilnasoolagh townland. Evidence suggests that a McEnerhiny freeholder occupied the church land at Kilnasoolagh in 1617, a point that we will return to later. The implication is that the McEnerhinys may have retained their historic function as an erenagh sept on ecclesiastical lands, even though an examination of the Papal Registers does not indicate an obvious hereditary ‘erenaghy’ in the parishes of Kilnasoolagh and Kilmaileery.\textsuperscript{58}

\textsuperscript{54} The Court of Chancery bill estimates the value of Ballykilty, Ballysallagh beg (ie. Ballysallagh East) and Lecharneigher containing four quar ters of land and two mills as £1000 in c.1600. In 1635 Mahon McEnerhiny (son of Mahon mentioned in the Chancery bill) and his two ‘footees’ granted Giles Bowden Ballysallagh East (two quarters) for £600. James Frost, The History and Topography of the County of Clare, p.329.

\textsuperscript{55} See John Ainsworth (ed.), The Inchiquin Manuscripts, No.983, pp.312-313 and No.1481, pp.504-505. Bohir Roger and Bradagh were recorded in 1641 as joint lands comprising 65 plantation acres. In 1711 in a rent roll of Henry O’Brien, Earl of Thomond, the denomination was recorded as “Bohorene als [ie alias] Newmarket and Bradagh 52:3:16 acres”, suggesting close proximity to the village of Newmarket-on-Fergus. See the 1641 Books of Survey and Distribution, p.149 and Petworth House Archives, Chichester, “A rent roll of the estate of the Rt. Hon. Henry Earl of Thomond together with the sub-denominations in each lease and estimation of the value thereof. Delivered to the Rt. Hon. the Earl of Thomond, Anno 1711.”, Ms 1707.

\textsuperscript{56} On the identification of Shanagheloyne in Ballymacragga see John Ainsworth (ed.), The Inchiquin Manuscripts, No.1046, p.328 and also see James McEnerhiny’s claim to Shanagheloyne as his inheritance in 1619 when it stated “Shanagheloyne in villa de Beallanegragga”. Petworth House Archives, Chichester, [Inquisition reciting the lands held by Donough O’Brien, fourth Earl of Thomond], B.26.1.16, [last page – few rows from bottom of parchment].

\textsuperscript{57} On Knockslattery see Petworth House Archives, Chichester, “Tibbott McRicard Confession in 1619 – touching my Liorde right to Lands out of his possession about Belahinn” [“certain intelligence given by Tibbott McRicard of Moilnoore the 24 May 1619”] No.122, (unsorted Bundle) C.13:35. There appears to be a series of land dealings by McEnerhiny freeholders in the 1620s that included the ownership of the lands of Cahirduffe in Ballymacragga, Domhnuilckagh in the barony of Islands, and Caher-i-grady, Corcaighlana, Rinelaheenore, Raghanagh in Ballysallagh West. Also mentioned were Craganapad and Kiltyneskeha in Ballysallagh East and Bergennagh, a residence of Mahon McEnerhiny which can be identified in Clarehedge parish. James Frost, The History and Topography of the County of Clare, pp 328-30. On the location of Cahirduffe see James Frost, Ibid., p.295. On the location of Bergennagh see Séamus Pender (ed) A Census of Ireland circa 1659, Clarehedge parish where it is recorded as “Bergennagh”.

\textsuperscript{58} Luke McLerney “Clerics and Clansmen: The Vicarages and Rectories of Tralee in the Fifteenth Century”, pp 15-16. In either Tomfeenlahigh parish, an ancient monastic site, the c"omharba — or coaribsh — was still remembered in 1622 when its office was recorded by John Rider, Protestant Bishop of Killaloe (ie. “in conversatia de Tomfeenlahigh”). This suggests that the coarh and erenagh system was not extinguished by the Norman presence in the thirteenth century or that it was re-established as part of the ecclesiastical economy after the collapse of the Norman colony. Philip Dwyer, The Diocese of Killaloe from the Reformation to the Close of the Eighteenth Century, Hodges, Foster and Figgis, Dublin, 1878, p.136.
Kilnasoolagh parish showing lands occupied by principal lineages in 1641

Landholding Matrix
Earl of Thomond [Baronets]: 1,976a.
Clanchie [Mac Fhlihnechadh]: 865a.
McEnerhiney [Mac an Oirchinnigh]: 822a.
McMahun [Mac Mathghamhna]: 227a.
Gallway [Mac Giolla Riabhaigh]: 200a.
McNamara [Mac Conmara]: 177a.
Bishop of Killaloe [Protestant]: 68a.

NB: Acres converted from
plantation acres to statute acres.
Shaded area indicates main
McEnerhiney and McClancy
estates in the parish.

[NB: shaded area indicates core sept-lands of McEnerhiney and McClancy lineages.
Spellings as per 1641 Books of Survey and Distribution].

1. Ballygirreen
2. Ballynacragga
3. Ballysallagh East
4. Ballysallagh West
5. Ballyconnelly
6. Carrigoran
7. Corkanaknockaun
8. Dromoland
9. Ing East
10. Ing West
11. Kilkieran
12. Knockmurragh
13. Knockscaggart
14. Latoon North
15. Latoon South
16. Lisduff
17. Kilnasoolagh
18. Rathfolan
19. Trennahow

Dromoland: Castle builder — Tomás mac Sheaán McHic an Oirchinnigh. Occupied
by ‘Donogh mac moregh O bryene’ (1570); ‘Donogh mcMurrogh O brien’ (1574);
‘William Starkey’ (1626).

Ballyconnelly: Castle builder — Tomás mac Sheaán McHic an Oirchinnigh. Occupied
by N/R (1570); ‘Tege mcMurrogh’ [O’Brien] (1574); N/R (1626).

Ballynacragga: Castle builder — Seán mac Thaidhg ruaidh [Seán mac
Conchabhair McHic Chon]. Occupied by N/R (1570); ‘Maceneryheny’ (1574 - no
forename); ‘Richard Keatinge’ (1626).

Ballysallagh West/Castlekeale: Castle builder — Conchabhar mac Sheaín [Mac Fhlanachdha]. Occupied by ‘Conoghor oig mac Clanchie, a brehon’ (1570); N/A (1574); N/A (1626). Evidence suggests this site was a fortified ‘hall-house’ and owned by Máire Rua’s husband John Cooper in the 1670s.

Carrigoran: Castle builder — Domhnail mac Finghin [surname unknown]

Rathfolan: Castle builder — Lochlann mac Shioda. Occupied by ‘Teig mac nema’ (1570); ‘Donogh O’Brien’ (1574); N/A (1626).

Kilnasoolagh church: — Pre-Norman origins. First recorded in 1256 and united to the rural rectory of ‘Tradry’ [ie Bunratty].

Circumstantial evidence suggests that the sept has been based in the vicinity of Ballysallagh since c.1400. Land transactions among McEnerhinys there occur up until 1655.60 The historical record vindicates that the cluster of lands around Ballysallagh and Ballykilty were the principal lands that constituted the patrimonial inheritance of the McEnerhinys sept. It is not known when these lands were granted to them, but the conventional historical view is that the Mac Conmara re-settled Tradaighe with allied septs in the wake of the collapse of De Clare’s Norman colony in 1318.61 It is reasonable to believe that both the McEnerhinys and McClancys, as offshoot septs of the Mac Conmara ruling lineage, were settled in Tradaighe during the fourteenth century. Interestingly, the McClancy maintained links from at least c.1400 to 1623—which included landholding and petitioning for church benefices—with their kin-branch at Killilagh parish in Corcomroe who served as hereditary brehons to the O’Briens.62

As the chief abode of the McEnerhinys, Ballysallagh is divided into east and west, with the latter division being occupied by the McClancy brehon sept. Ballysallagh has Norman connections as the townland was held by feudal tenure by the free tenants Nicholas de Interberg and Henry White in 1287.63 By 1586 Ballysallagh East was locally known as “Ballysallagh McEnerhine” presumably to differentiate it from the western part of the townland.64 Ballysallagh West comprised the McClancy estate and fortified residence of Castlekeale. The McClancy’s principal residence was located nearby at Urnlamore tower-house.65 Nomenclature evidence from sixteenth century Sligo...

60 In 1655 Cumanara McEnerhine of Ballysallagh (along with Thomas Feild) entered into a lease with Daniel McNamara of Ballymacaggga. John Ainsworth (ed), The Inchquin Manuscripts, No.1080, p.353.

61 James Frost, The History and Topography of the County of Clare, p.182.


63 H.S. Sweetman, Calendar of Documents Relating to Ireland: 1285-1292, Liechtenstein, Kraus Reprint, 1974, p.207. In January 2000 Martin Breen and Risteard Ua Cróinín surveyed the ruins of Castlekeale at Ballysallagh West that formed part of a late-medieval complex which comprised a large square bawn. The ‘long-house’ structure measured 32m long and 8.4m wide and the ruined vaults suggest that there may have been a central tower. See Martin Breen & Risteard Ua Cróinín, “Some Recently Located Tower-house Sites”, The Other Clare, Vol.24, 2000, pp 5-9, pp 7-8.


65 The 1641 Books of Survey and Distribution, p.159 divide Ballysallagh West into four plough-lands, i.e. Trincealain, Ranaghan, Trin McMichael and Caghare Monghan. Trincealain refers to one-third of the casaidean - or castle - and refers to the fields around Castlekeale which was then a McClancy fortified residence. Martin Breen, “A 1570 List of Castles in County Clare”, p.133.
points to stability amongst landholding septs in lordships, often over the course of centuries. Similar nomenclature evidence is found in Thomond and the division “Ballysallagh McEnerhine” is a case in point.

Other chief centres of importance for the McEnerhinys include Ballykilty where two water mills were located and also Ballymacragga where a tower-house was in the possession by an unnamed member of the sept in 1574. In terms of permanent structures little is known but at Ballykilty and Carrigoran it is possible that fortified structures existed prior to 1600. Petty’s map of the barony of Bunratty dated 1656-58 shows that substantial structures existed in both of these townlands, and that the two water mills located at Ballykilty since at least 1573 can be identified in the sketch. The sketch also depicts tower-houses at Ballymacragga, Ballysallagh West (Castlekeale) and Urlanmore:

![Ballykilty with watermills](image1)
![Ballymacragga, Ballysallagh & Carrigoran](image2)

The 1586 inquisition into the lands of Seán Mac Conmara, Lord of West Clann Chuláin, records Ballysallagh West owing 7s 10d, while “Ballysallagh McEnerhine” owed 6s 8d. With the Composition Agreement in 1585 Seán Mac Conmara’s lordship charges were replaced by a levy of five shillings on each land quarter, payable to the

---

68 An inscription above the fireplace in the old kitchen of Ballykilty House reads: “1614 John MacNamara and Onora Cianche bided thes cheimnis in the year of our Lord”. Whilst the fireplace may have come from a different site it is possible that a pre-1600 structure existed on the site of the eighteenth century Ballykilty House. I thank Martin Breen for his advice regarding the fireplace.
69 Ballysallagh West may have been a fortified long-house structure with a tower, as evidence in the c.1675 Edenvale castle survey of County Clare. The local name for the ‘castle’ is Castlekeale or *An Caistlein Caol*—the narrow castle—suggestive of its long-house structure. The ruins of Castlekeale can be seen today. Brian Ó Díbhéigh, Martin Breen & Réitir dea Críostín, “The Edenvale Castle Survey of Co Clare 1671-79”, *North Munster Antiquarian Journal*, Vol.45, 2005, pp 33-49:48.
Earl of Thomond. Much information can be gleaned from surviving materials at Petworth House and the 1624 inquisition post mortem of Donough O’Brien, fourth Earl of Thomond, mentions those quarters that comprised the sept-land of the McEnerhinys:

...De et quarter terr in Rathmolanbeog quaque solid de et quatuor quarter terr in Ballysallaghes viginti solid & et...de ex quarter terr in Carrigouran quinque solid...72

(the quarter of land in Rathmolanbeog [pays] five shillings and four quarters of land in Ballysallagh [ie. east and west Ballysallagh] [pays] 20 shillings...and the quarter of land in Carrigouran [pays] five shillings).

The foregoing recalls the Composition charge of five shillings per quarter and that the lordship over these lands was taken by the Earl of Thomond, being one of the reasons which prompted Seán Mac Conmara to complain that the Earl had usurped his right of lordship over lands in the barony of Bunratty.73

Territorial magnates and heads of chief Gaelic lineages as well as New-English settlers were rewarded in the 1585 Composition Agreement with lands free from composition charges. While not appearing in the final Composition Agreement signed by the main representatives of the Gaelic aristocracy on 14 August 1585 (excepting John McNamara Fionn), the McEnerhinys appear in an ancillary schedule to the final agreement. A note on “the names of all the macks and oes” of Connacht and Thomond and now part of the Carew Manuscripts lists the second-order lineages in apparent hierarchical order. The below is an excerpt of that document:

```
1. [Macleod's name]
2. [Ní Mhaois's name]
3. [Ní Mhaois's name]
4. [O'Connell's name]
5. [O'Malley's name]
6. [O'Connell's name]
```

List of the 'Mackes' and 'Oes' of Connacht and Thomond, c.158574

---

71 A. Martin Freeman (ed.), The Composicion Booke of Conoquht, p.19. The Earl of Thomond and his heirs received five shillings on 344 quarters of land in the barony of Dangan-i-vigann (ie Bunratty) as well as having six quarters of land at the manor of Bunratty free from paying the composition charge to support the President of Connacht's administrative and military functions.

72 Inquisition Post Mortem of Donogh O’Brien, fourth Earl of Thomond, Petworth House Archives, Chichester, Ms.1141 [large rolled manuscript page five, top third of page].

73 In 1589 Seán Mac Conmara complained to the English administration of the unfair nature of the composition agreement. His grievance was related to the Earl of Thomond’s encroachment upon his lands and the Earl’s connection to the influential houses of Kildare and Ormond. R.W. Twigge, Materials for a History of Clann Caillein, Add Ms 39260, Tighe Collection, British Library, p.192.

74 J.S Brewer & W. Bullen (eds), Calendar of the Carew Manuscripts Preserved in the Archiepiscopal Library at Lambeth, 1667-1873, Carew MS 614, f.25. The preface to the list reads: “In consideration that by this composition not only all the castles and lands belonging to their names; but also such castles and lands as they have of their own inheritance, are to be confirmed by her Maj. Letters patents to them and their heirs in English succession” [spelling modernized].
The list begins with “Macke William Eighter” followed by “Mc Nemarr affyne” (Mac
Conmara Fionn) scribed above the following sept-lineages: “Mc Nemarr Rouchge”,
(Mac Conmara Riabhach) “McGillereaulgh”, (Mac Giolla Riabhagh) “McClanegeh”
(Mac Fhlanneadhadha) and “McEnerrhin” (Mac an Oirchinn[igh]). The tripartite
indenture component of the Composition Agreement caajoled the leading Gaelic
ghentry to be fully enmeshed in feudal land tenure. That the McEnerrhinys featured in a
background document associated with the Composition serves as an acknowledgement
of their relative importance in the landholding hierarchy.

Ecclesiastical Connection
The fifteenth century Papal Letters (1396-1521) and petitions known as Regesta
Supplicationum record McEnerrhin clerics being appointed the vicarages of
Kilnasoolagh, Kilmaleery, Killfintanan, Clonlochan and Bunratty and the rectories of
Quin, Bunratty, Drumline and Tymorlogy.75 McEnerrhin clerics are first recorded in
the published Papal Registers in 1411 when Matthew Macmerychyn (Mathghamhain
Mac an Oirchinn) was recorded as holding the rectories of Quin and Bunratty and
petitioned to be assigned the vicarage of Kilmaleery.76 A petition from 1419 indicated
that he held the simple rectories of Tymorlogy and Drumline and that he sought to hold
Kilnasoolagh vicarage.77 Matthew was described as the ‘offspring of a noble lineage’
(“de nobili genere procreatuis existit”) as are other McEnerrhin clerics recorded in the
Papal Letters in the vicinity of Kilnasoolagh and Kilmaleery parishes.78 The original
petition from Killaloe to the Roman Curia scribed his name on parchment in 1419 thus:

\[\text{[Signature]}\]

Matheus Macnemayrkyn cl[er]icus79

An even earlier petition is recorded amongst the Papal Letters of Clement VII of
Avignon during the uncertain time of the Great Schism. The petition, one of two
published for Killaloe diocese, stated that ‘Dermicueus Macenkaryd’ (Diarmaid Mac an

75 See Luke McInerney “Clerics and Clansmen: The Vicarages and Rectories of Tredraighe in the Fifteenth Century”.
76 Twemlow, Papal Letters Vol. VI AD.1404-1415, London, 1904, pp 256-7. The 1411 mandate states that he was of ‘ducal
race’ and in his nineteenth year placing his birth as 1392. Other mandates refer to Matthew’s knowledge of Latin
and studies of canon law and his death c.1422 aged thirty. Life expectancy among landed elite in Gaelic Ireland was affected
by high morality rates and death through fighting, resulting in a small number of male heirs. The well-fed notables of
dominant septs has a strong incentive to vigorously reproduce sub-branches to acquired territories and subinfeud vassals,
giving greater reach to the parent lineage. Life expectancy of notables was short and genealogical material confirms
that two or three sons per family was the usual size due to high male mortality. A document from c.1635 shows that the sister
of James McEnerrhin, Oona Ny Inderheny, was married to John McNamara of Rineanna who died during the lifetime
of his father Cuvarr and two sons Daniel and Shane, leaving Oona windowed. Given high male mortality rates this
picture of a husband dying before his father and wife must have been familiar. Petworth House Archives, Chichester, [on
back of a land deed of David O Rudden] PHA C.13.55; Mary O Dowd, Power, Politics and Land: Early Modern Sligo
1568-1688, pp 71-2. On Matthew the cleric see Luke McInerney “Clerics and Clansmen: The Vicarages and Rectories of
Tredraighe in the Fifteenth Century”, pp 10-12.
77 ASV Regestum Supplicationum 129f.63.
78 Ibid. For a similar petition by the same cleric see ASV Regestum Supplicationum 131, 34-34v. In 1443 Dermot
Macinerechyn was described as of ‘noble race’ and in 1483 Laurence Macnoverenchy was described as ‘noble birth by
both parents’. Belonging to a landed dominant lineage of ‘noble’ status conferred legitimacy on a cleric and the awarding
79 See ASV Regestum Supplicationum 129f. 63. This is also excerpted and published in Special List 43 available at
the National Library of Ireland Manuscripts Reading Room. In terms of date, this excerpt appears under the chapter ‘Second
Year of the Pontificate of Martin V, 21 Nov 1418 - 20 Nov 1419’.
Oirchinnigh) held the perpetual vicarage of ‘Kylomsulach’ (Kilnasoolagh) and rectory of *Ui Cormaic* (parishes of Drumcliff and Kilmaley) in 1382, but was to yield the latter to a Mac Craith who was probably connected to the Mac Craith hereditary church family of Clareabbey.

The Papal Letters reveal that the McEnerhiny sept supplied a steady stream of clerics to local benefices; this is not surprising considering that the McEnerhiny were an established landholding sept. However, it is unclear whether the benefices at Kilnasoolagh and Kilmaley were in the possession of either a coarb or erenagh sept. Whether the ancient coarb and erenagh system—once a component of the Gaelic ecclesiastical economy—was still extant after the settlement and collapse of the Norman colony cannot be distilled from the Papal Letters. The presence of church lands at Carrigoran and Kilnasoolagh and those recorded as ‘in ecclesiastical fee’ in Clonlogan which belonged to the Bishop of Killaloe, suggests the occupancy of episcopal tenants, possibly under the stewardship of an erenagh.

Carrigoran formed the ‘core’ sept-lands of the McEnerhiny lineage in the sixteenth century. Its inclusion in the 1586 inquisition as belonging to the bishopric of Killaloe links the McEnerhiny to termon lands. By piecing together references in the historical record we can identify James McEnerhiny whose father was a cleric and himself a literate man constituting a link between the McEnerhiny lineage and termon lands of Kilnasoolagh parish.

Documentation from Petworth House Archives identifies James in the service of the fourth and fifth Earls of Thomond and active on local inquisitions. In 1617 James was recorded as ‘detaining’ the church lands at Kilnasoolagh from Bishop Rider. The survey of church lands in the 1661 Bishop Worth’s Account Book notes:

1 Quarter Arable Pasture 43 [acres], the Civil Survey agrees. This Quarter was released to the Bishop of Killaloe by James mac Enerhiny 6 Jan: 1617, N[ew] S[standard]. And I cannot find any lease made thereof since to the said James or

---

80 I wish to thank Brian Ó Dálaigh for bringing this reference to my attention. See Charles Bums, “Papal Letters of Clement VII of Avignon (1378-94) relating to Ireland and England”, *Collectanea Hibernica*, No.24, 1982, pp 7-44-29. The holding of Kilnasoolagh vicarage confirms this cleric, despite the mangled surname, as a kinsman of the McEnerhiny sept of Kilnasoolagh.


82 The Compotition Book of Conaunacht (1585) also mentions the presence of termon lands in Tradraigh — q.v. “Toewoghtraigh Tradry consisting of 33 quarters, whereas one quarter beareth Chiefly to the Bishoprick of Killaloe.” Toewoghtraigh Tradry (Teath Uachtarach Tradraigh) or upper Tradraigh comprised Kilnasoolagh and Tomfinlough parishes. A. Martin Freeman (ed.), *The Compotition Booke of Conoogh*, p.8.

83 See John Ainsworth (ed.), *The Inchquin Manuscripts*, No.983, pp 312-13 and No.1481, pp 504-05. These references relate to the lands held by Conor O’Brien of Dromoland on his death in 1603 with the latter mentioning “John McEnerhiny, ‘pris’ and James his son, for which they have a quarter of Dromolin in mortgage.” The former reference refers to a grant to James McEnerhiny of 4 acres in Rathfohan by Conor O’Brien prior to 1603. In 1619 in an inquisition into the lands of Donough O’Brien, fourth Earl of Thomond, James claimed several lands as his inheritance, including “quarum acri measure hibernor in Rathfohanore” [4 acres of Irish measure at Rathfohan] linking him to the c.1603 grant and therefore son of John McEnerhiny the cleric. In 1619 James, who was residing at Ballysallagh, also claimed 1 carton of land at Shanagholoney (Ballymaccragga) as his inheritance. These references link James to John the cleric and it is likely that James was the same James who translated deeds from Irish to English at Limerick 1611 along with the New-English settler Hugh Brickdall. In 1619 James signed his name in legible English on a deed concerning David and Donell O Rudden and scribed next to William Brickdall, presumably the son of Hugh. See Petworth House Archives, Chichester, [Inquisition reciting the lands held by Donough O’Brien, fourth Earl of Thomond], B.26.T.16, [last page – five rows from bottom of parchment]; Petworth House Archives, Chichester, PHA C.13.35 and James Hardiman (ed.), “Ancient Irish Deeds and Writings Chiefly relating to Landed Property from the Twelfth to Seventeenth Century: With Translation, Notes and a Preliminary Essay”, pp 50-1.

any other, yet it was enjoyed by your[sel] at the rent of £3 yearly. But 6 Feb. 1636 Bishop Lewis Jones sealed a lease thereof to William Price who 11 Feb. 1636 entered the dwelling house of the said James mac Ercinagh & demanded possession thereof but being refused by the said James[,] Connor Malloine yeoman took a clod of earth & delivered it to the lessee as possession of the said land.\[84\] [spelling modernized and contractions expanded]

This reference confirms James' possession of Kilnasoolagh terron lands where he gave his residence at a 1611 inquisition.\[85\] It also suggests that James was alive in 1636, a point that is confirmed in his lodged suits at the Chancery Court.\[86\] James' possession of this land, coupled with the fact that his father was a cleric, infers a historical link between the McEnerhiny sept and the terron lands of Kilnasoolagh parish. Other Petworth House sources point to James holding, as his paribale inheritance, parts of Knockslattery and Ballykilty in c.1619 identifying him closely with the leading McEnerhiny branch.\[87\] Corroborating evidence pointing to the McEnerhiny sept-lineage exercising the role of hereditary erenachs in the sixteenth century is, however, absent.\[88\] Circumstantial evidence may be found in the bardic poem *Créad fá seachnaim siol Aodha?* compiled possibly in c.1571. The poem recounts the ecclesiastical origin of the McEnerhiny sept, referring to their descent from Donnchadh ("deighshiol Donchadha meic Domhnail") and their role as an erenach lineage ("siol ádhbhor an oircininngh").\[89\]

The terron lands of Kilnasoolagh were still extant in 1767 and recorded in Thomas Hewitt's map of Newmarket as "Bishop's land".\[90\] Despite the uncertainties surrounding the role of the McEnerhiny sept at the terron lands of Carrigor and Kilnasoolagh, any vestige of a Gaelic ecclesiastical economy, including the presence of erenachs, would have been removed by the Protestant Bishop Rider in the early 1600s.

**McEnerhiny land dispute: 1565-1632**

New information has provided useful detail concerning a dispute over the core McEnerhiny sept-lands. The dispute culminated in violence in 1573. The dispute was between two branches of the sept who shared a common grandfather. The centrality of land proprietorship to personal security and wealth meant that the stakes were high

---

84 Ms 1777, *Typescript copy of a survey of lands in the diocese of Killala made for Bishop Worth, 1661*, (Rev) James B. Leslie, pp 11-12. Also see Representative Church Body library MSD 14/1.
87 See Petworth House Archives, Chichester, ["Information about Land out of my Lords hands, No.32, Win Brickdall"] [c.1619], C.13.35. The document reads: "The half quarter of Knock 1 Slattery with the mill adjoining to the lands of Dromollen and the half quarter of Ballykilty called Lecercrone Ingheragh are the inheritance of James Mc Inemy, of which James Mc Inerney was found guilty of man slaughter for the killing of James Dixon one of Sir Robbert Mclean's company. Sir Rowland Delahaye being foreman of the petty Jury that found the said James guilty" [modernized spelling]. In 1641 Lecercrone Ingheragh (ie.Leceroonerigh) was in the possession of John McNamara of Moughane while the Earl of Thomond had managed to assert his original ownership rights over part of Kilnahon adjoining to Knockslattery (Knockelar). R. Simington, *Books of Survey and Distribution*, p.131 & p.152.
88 On this point see Luke McInerney "Clerics and Clansmen: The Vicarages and Rectories of Traigh agh in the Fifteenth Century".
when landholding was disputed amongst sept members. The survival of inquisitions post mortem and a Chancery Court bill provides further context to events and adds to our understanding of how inheritance operated amongst landholding sept-lineages in the sixteenth century lordship of West Clann Chuilîn.

The ultimate function of the inquisition post mortem system was to determine what revenues, if any, escheated to the crown on the death of a landed freeholder. Under this system, the re-granting of a freeholder's lands to his heirs acted as a powerful means of extending social control and political patronage. On the 16 May 1579 the first of three inquisitions post mortem were taken regarding the death of sept-head John McEnerhiny (Seán Mac an Oirchinnigh). The death of John McEnerhiny at Dromoland on 5 November 1565 sparked a land dispute amongst two factions of the McEnerhiny deirbhshíne who shared a common grandfather, Tomás. Tomás and his descendants are scribed in a genealogy compiled in c.1588 probably for the purpose of supporting the land claims of the senior branch of the lineage as the genealogy records both rival branches, but gives prominence to the senior branch.91

**Pedigree of the McEnerhiny deirbhshíne cited in the 1579, 1606 & 1632 inquisitions**92

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Senior branch</th>
<th>Tomás McEnerhiny</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Con Mara</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John (d.1565; original owner of Ballysallagh &amp; Ballykilty)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahon (b.1548-d.1617)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John (heir of Mahon)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior branch</td>
<td>Mahon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loghlen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahon (d.1572; held Ballysallagh, Ballykilty &amp; Carrigoran)</td>
<td>Loghlen (d.1573 - murdered at Carrigoran)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donough (b.1570, appeared in 1606 Inquisition Post Mortem)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the c.1588 genealogy the two sons of Tomás—Con Mara and Mahon—were progenitors of rival branches of the sept that claimed the lands of Ballysallagh and Ballykilty on the death of John in 1565. Circumstantial evidence in the 1579 inquisition points to the family of John as the incumbent lineage on the sept-lands at that time, a position they probably held along with the position of sept-head in recognition of their status as “the true owner[s]” of the lands according to the 1606 inquisition.93

New evidence from a recently found document from the Court of Chancery provides rare detail on the capacity for violent conflict between competing branches of the sept. While the background to the McEnerhiny land dispute has been noted elsewhere,94 the inquisition material recorded in abstract form by James Frost is recounted here:

Inquisitions post mortem, taken at Ennis, on the 16th of May, 21st year of Elizabeth [1579], before John Crofton, finds that John McInerney, late of Ballykilty, died on

---

91 RIA Ms 23.H22, p.11. The genealogy is discussed and printed earlier in this article.
92 All names have been anglicised and sourced from the 1579, 1606 and 1632 inquisitions post mortem in James Frost, The History and Topography of the County of Clare, p.269 & p.280 and from the c.1588 pedigree of the Mac an Oirchinnigh: RIA Ms 23.H22, p.11.
93 James Frost, The History and Topography of the County of Clare, p.280
the 5th of November, 1565, seized in fee of Ballysallagh and Ballykilty; that Mahone MacInerney, aged 17, at his father’s death, is the son and heir of said John; finds that Mahone, son of Loghlen, and Mahone’s son, Loghlen the younger, both relations of John, had laid claim to his lands and appropriated them to their own use for thirteen years past.95

Inquisitions post mortem, taken at the Windmill, on the 13th of March, 1606, by Humphrey Wynch, finds that Mahone, son of Loghlen MacInerney, died at Ballysallagh, on the 12th of November, 1572, being then owner in fee of Ballysallagh, Ballykilty with its water-mill, and of Carrigoran, and leaving his son Loghlen his heir-at-law. This son died at Carrigoran on the 14th of November, 1576, leaving his son Donogh, then aged six years, but now of full age, as his heir; finds that Mahone, son of John MacInerney, disputes the right of his cousin to the ownership of these lands, alleging that his father John, who was the true owner, had died at Dromoland, on the 5th of November, in the 7th year of the reign of Queen Elizabeth, leaving him, the said Mahone, his son and heir.96

A subsequent Inquisition post mortem, taken in 1632, finds that Mahone had been in possession, and that he died about the year 1617, leaving a son John to succeed him, a man then of full age.97

Following the death of John in 1565 his son Mahon was sidelined by his cousins who appropriated the lands. It may have been the intention that prior to the death of John he placed his estate in trust to senior kinsmen of the junior branch of the family in the expectation that proprietorship would return to his under-aged son Mahon. Mahon was displaced from Ballysallagh by his cousins after 1565 and in the intervening period to 1573 he probably resided on his mother’s dowry land at Knockslattery in Doora parish.98

Probably using Knockslattery as a safe homestead, Mahon returned in February 1573 at the age of 25 to reassert his position as heir to John and McEnerhiny sept-head. On 12 November 1572 the eldest representative of the junior McEnerhiny branch, Mahon son of Loghlen, died at Ballykilty and was then the owner of the core sept-lands of Ballysallagh, Ballykilty with its water-mill and Carrigoran, leaving a son Loghlen as his heir.99 In a quick succession of events the deposed Mahon returned to Ballysallagh along with a galloglass mercenary Molmorry McEdmond, his brother-in-law, and killed Loghlen, the new incumbent on the sept-lands on 1 February 1573 (recte 1574).

95 James Frost, The History and Topography of the County of Clare, p.269.
96 Ibid., p.280.
97 Ibid.
98 A document dated 14 May 1619 confirms that the half quarter of Knockslattery was the original property of Conor O’Brien, third Earl of Thomond, who mortgaged it to Richard Roe McMeiley, the brother of Mahon McEnerhiny’s mother. Presumably Richard Roe passed the land on as a dowry to Mahon’s mother. In 1619 Tibbot, son of Richard Roe, disputed Mahon’s possession of the townland on the basis that it “descended unto him in right of his mother, sister to Ricard Roe”. Petworth House Archives, Chichester; “Tibbott McRicard Confession in 1619 – touching my Lds right to Lands out of his possession about Belahan” (“Certain intelligence given by Tibbott McRicard of Molmore the 24 May 1619”) No.122, (unsorted Bundle) C.13.35. Mahon remained in possession of Knockslattery until his death in 1617 and possibly settled a grandson Loughlin there. In 1641 Loughlin McEnerhiny held Kilnalron, a townland joined to Knockslattery (sic Knockslattery). Mahon’s claim to the land as inheritance from his mother may have been false as the land probably would have reverted back to his mother’s family after her death. See Mary O’Dowd, Power, Politics and Land: Early Modern Sligo 1558-1688, p.73 also see R. Simington, Books of Survey and Distribution, p.131.
99 James Frost, The History and Topography of the County of Clare, p.280.
The inquisitions transcribed by James Frost do not record this event. The 1606 inquisition incorrectly states that Loghlin died at Carrigorran on 14 November 1576, leaving a son Donough. Inconsistencies with the dates could be due to editing by Frost or by difficulty in reading the original document. Donough pursued his claim to the sept-land by initiating a suit in the Court of Chancery—under the law of equity—as he could not get redress under common law due to Mahon’s alliance with the jurors on local inquisitions. Donough’s Court of Chancery bill (undated) recounts the events in full:

To the right hon. the lord Chancellor.

In most humble wise showeth to your good honour your daily orator Donough McInerinyhein of Carrgauran gent., how Laughlin McNenery father to your petitioner, was quietly seised in his demesne as of fee of and in Balliskillie, Ballisallaghbeigg, Leaghkearrowgeteragh containing four quarters of land and two mills with the appurtenances situate, lying and being in the County of Clare and in the barony of Bunnatty and during his lifetime did peaceably and quietly proceave[?], receive and enjoy the issues, perquisites and profits of the same and every part and parcel of the premises without the lawful let, trouble or molestation of any whatsoever, for and until such time as your orator’s said father was murdered by Mahowne McInnerney of Knockslatterie and Malmorry McEdmonde galliglass and brother in law to the said Mahowne upon the first of February AD 1573 (recte 1574) your orator being then of age five years or thereabouts after whose death the said lands and other the premises descended and came to your supplicant as son and heir to his father Laughlin and that Mahowne McInnerryny did abate upon your supplicant’s possession and ever sithence [since] hath unjustly withheld the same to your orator’s damages of £1000, with whom at the common law your orator cannot try [ie. trial] by reason of his alliance among common Jurors. The premises considered may it please your lordship to award his majesty’s most gracious writ of subpoena to the said Mahown McInnerryny who is now in town to answer the premises presently and to order according to equity due he shall pray. [modernized spelling]

Original Court of Chancery Bill, c.1590-c.1606

100 Donough must have been of full age when he filed suit at the Court of Chancery. This would place the lodging of the bill between c.1590 to c.1606.

101 Chancery Bills: Survivals from pre-1922 Collection, B. No.228, National Archives of Ireland.

102 Ibid.
From the Chancery bill we can see that Loghlen, as the representative of the junior branch, held the lands of "Ballikillie, Ballisallaghbeg, Leaghkearrowgertagh containing four quarters of land and two mills in the county of Clare" in 1573 (recte 1574). The involvement of Molmorry McEdmond,¹⁰³ who was described in the Chancery bill as a “galliglass” mercenary was probably of the McSweeny galliglass sept who settled in Co Cork on the MacCarthy demesne lands in the fifteenth century. Mahon’s relationship with this McSweeny galliglass is uncertain, though Mahon’s pardon for rebellion in 1577 points to a possible connection to rebellious activity with the Earl of Clancare (Mac Carthaigh Mór) and his McSweeny galliglass retainers.¹⁰⁴ The employment of an armed mercenary by Mahon points to the retention of Gaelic military service septs in Thomond prior to their abduction under an agreement with the Earl of Thomond concluded at Windsor Castle in 1577.¹⁰⁵

On the killing of his rival Loghlen, Mahon seised the sept-lands of Ballysallagh and Ballykilty, displacing the junior branch of the family.¹⁰⁶ As Mahon had effective possession of the core sept-lands from 1573, it is reasonable to link him with the c.1588 pedigree written by hereditary chronicler and genealogist, Maoilín Óg Mac Bruaideachta, which descends to “Mathgamain [Mahon] Mac an Oirchinnigh”. This pedigree served as a contemporary confirmation of his position as senior representative of Clann an Oirchinnigh.¹⁰⁷

In 1577 Mahon was recorded as at Ballykilty¹⁰⁸ and in 1589 at Ballysallagh,¹⁰⁹ indicating that he remained in full possession of the McEnerhiny sept-estate. Mahon’s recording as a juror in the 1586 inquisition into the land holding of John McNamara Fionn, Lord of West Clann Chuiléin, points to him as the ceannain of the McEnerhiny

¹⁰³ A search of the sixteenth century Irish Plantations identified one possible individual – “Moylorny McEdmond McSwyne of Castleton, yeoman” in 1573. This contemporary recording is probably related to rebellion in Desmond (Castleton could be either in Kinalea or Inniskilly baronies in Co Cork) rather than rebellion in Thomond. The reference to Moylorny McEdmond McSwyne suggests that this is potentially the same galliglass who aided Mahon in 1573 and Mahon’s pardon in 1577 in connection to rebellion in Desmond further illustrates his (kinship?) links to that lordship and his ability to employ mercenary retainers. See The Irish Plantations of the Tudor Sovereigns, Fiant 2254, pp 291-2. A branch of the McSweenes can also be identified in Kilnaght parish in Corcomroe barony where a descendant “Moylorny McSwyn gent.” was recorded in the 1659 “census”. Seumas Pender (ed) A Censures of Ireland Circa 1659, Kilnaght parish.

¹⁰⁴ See The Irish Plantations of the Tudor Sovereigns, Fiant 3152 p.430.

¹⁰⁵ The State Papers of Ireland record that on 15 February 1574 around 300 Scots (“redshank” mercenaries), 700 galliglass, harquebusiers and kern camped at Clann Chuiléin making much commotion and that the local inhabitants fled to Limerick with their cattle. The presence of these mercenaries was on account of the rebellion of the Earl of Desmond for whom they came to aid, indicating recourse to large scale violence in the late sixteenth century and the ready employment of mercenary soldiers. Calendar of State Papers, Ireland, Tudor Period 1571-1575, p.506. On the discontinued galliglass see J.S Brewer & W. Bullen (eds), Calendar of the Carew Manuscripts Preserved in the Archepiscopal Library at Lambeth, 1575-1588, Carew Manuscripts, Longmans, Green, Reader and Dyer Publishing, London, 1868, p.116.

¹⁰⁶ Donough, the son of the murdered Loghlen, may have continued to reside nearby at Carrigaran as the Chancery bill reads: “Donough Mc Ineirnehyne of Carriguran, gent.” Donough was born around c.1568-c.1570 and was alive at the time of the 1600 inquisition. His sons have settled on land further south at Caherteige where Donough McDonogh and Murtagh McDonogh McEnerhiny were freeholders in 1641. See Chancery Bills: Survivals from pre-1922 Collection, B. No.228, National Archives of Ireland; R. Simington, Books of Survey and Distribution, p.171.

¹⁰⁷ R.W Twigg, Materials for a History of Clann Cusain, Add Ms 39266, Twigge Collection, p.315 & p.317. Also see RIA Ms 23.H22, p.11.

¹⁰⁸ The Irish Plantations of the Tudor Sovereigns, Fiant 3152, p.430 [Mahowwe McShane McInErihine of Ballykilly, gent].

¹⁰⁹ ibid., Fiant 5401, p.96. [Maghowne McInerinn of Ballesolloghe, gent].
sept and a principal freeholder of the lordship as he is second on the list of jurors.\textsuperscript{110} Interchangeable references of another leading freeholder, James McEnerhiny,\textsuperscript{111} to Ballysallagh East points to the townland serving as a principal abode for sept members in Kilnasoolagh parish, despite the occupation of a towerhouse at Ballynacrugga in 1574.\textsuperscript{112} Ballysallagh East adjoined the lands of Castlekeale in West Ballysallagh which was a McClancy long-house residence and a nucleated settlement described as a "town" in the early seventeenth century.\textsuperscript{113}

Further references are found to Mahon as a juror at a 1598 inquisition\textsuperscript{114} and also in a document dated 22 October 1636, which stated that in 1616 Mahon McEnerhiny of Ballykilty had two horses stolen from him by Daniel Annierie McNemara of Drumquin in Kilraghtis parish, who was later jailed in Ennis and his land forfeited to the Earl of Thomond.\textsuperscript{115} Mahon retained possession of the sept-lands until his death in 1617 when a pedigree was lodged in Dublin declaring his heir-at-law John.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{pedigree.png}
\caption{Genealogical Office Pedigree of Mahowne McEnerhiny of Balikiltie, and heir John, 1617\textsuperscript{116}}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{110} See R.W. Twigge, \textit{Materials for a History of Clan Cullin}, Add Ms 39260, Twigge Collection, British Library, pp.180-186. The list of jurors was headed by the high-status brehon, "Donogh McClanachy of the Ownylyne" [i.e. Urlanmore], followed by "Mahowne McEnerhyn of Ballisallagh". Other McEnerhiny jurors included Shane of Carrigerry (Kilconery parish) and Thomas of Kilnasoolagh. The latter forename is interesting and occurs amongst fifteenth century sept members. The forename Tomás is absent from the medieval Mac Cormara and Mac Phlannda nha genealogies.

\textsuperscript{111} On references to James at Ballysallagh see the 1619 document Petworth House Archives, Chichester, [Inquisition reincting the lands held by Donough O'Brien, fourth Earl of Thomond], B.26.T.16; and 1623 Chancery Bills: Survivals from pre-1922 Collection, K (undated Bills), No.11, National Archives of Ireland. In 1641 his son James Óg held the second largest portion of Ballysallagh East. R. Simington, \textit{Books of Survey and Distribution}, p.159.

\textsuperscript{112} R.W. Twigge, "Edward White's Description of Thomond in 1574", p.80.

\textsuperscript{113} See the reference in a Chancery bill dated 28 November 1623 to "the castle, town and lands of Castlekeale". The bill sets out the inheritance of the McClanachys of Ballysallagh West and their sept-land in Kilnasoolagh and Kilmaleery parishes and cites subdivisions of Ballysallagh (i.e. Cahirigrady, Treecvickhill, Enargranagh, Umerkigh, Gorteneare, etc). The bill recounts the inheritance of the McClanachys which descended from Connor Clancy, the father of Conor Óg Clancy and his heir, Hugh Roe (suinicipant in the bill). The bill suggests land transfer was generally amongst brothers with the eldest taking the best portion and the power to redeem mortgaged land. The bill can be checked against a McClancy pedigree by R.W. Twigge which notes Connor Clancy built Urlanmore (early sixteenth century) and his son Connor Óg built Ballysallagh in the mid-sixteenth century. See Chancery Bills: Survivals from pre-1922 Collection, K (undated Bills), No.11, National Archives of Ireland and R.W. Twigge, \textit{Materials for a History of Clan Cullin}, Add Ms 39270, EE, Twigge Collection, British Library [genealogical pedigree: large roll].

\textsuperscript{114} John Ainsworth (ed.), \textit{The Inchiquin Manuscripts}, No.936, p.294. Mahon's residence was Ballysallagh.

\textsuperscript{115} Petworth House Archives, Chichester, PHA C.13.36, 22 October, 1636.

\textsuperscript{116} Genealogical Office, Dublin, Ms 220-222 Milesian Pedigrees II, p.40.
It is possible to construct a pedigree of Mahon’s family based on surviving documentation and his family’s connection to local notables such as Máire Rua:

**Pedigree of Mahon McEnerhiny**

```
| (d.1565)                | (dowry lands of Knockslattery) |
| Mahon                   | sister married to Molmorry McEdmond [McSweeney?] |
```

```
| John (fl.1659) | Mahon | ? sister of Máire Rua |
| Loughlin (Seneschal: Inchequin’s Manor Court, 1670) | Daniel | Teige | Conor |
| fl.1641 | fl.1659 | fl.1641 | fl.1686 (d.1677) |
| Daughter (fl.1686) | Honora | Ellinor | Mary | Catelin |

**Straight line: proven descent. Broken line: assumed descent.**

From at least 1616 Mahon’s residence was Ballykilty and it was there that he died in 1617. Presumably, Knockslattery also descended to Mahon’s heir John which, in turn, may have been passed to his son (?) Loughlin sometime before 1641. Circumstantial evidence points to Mahon having several other sons who were settled on various other parcels of land, including Mahon and Edmond at Ballysallagh East who appear in land transactions of the 1620s with Dutch settler James Martin.

**Inheritance in Sixteenth Century Kilnasoolagh**

The ubiquitous question of how land inheritance operated in Gaelic lordships can only be answered by reference to local practices and acknowledging that inheritance customs evolved depending on the balance of local law and pragmatism. This section will

---

117 Petworth House Archives, Chichester, “Tibbott McRiarch Confession in 1619 – touching my Lds right to Lands out of his possession about Belahan” [“certain intelligence given by Tibbett mcRiarch of Moinehore the 24 May 1619”] No.122, (unsorted Bundle) C.13/35.

118 Chancery Bills: Survivals from pre-1922 Collection, B. No.228, National Archives of Ireland.

119 See John Ainsworth (ed.), The Inchequin Manuscripts, No.1845, p.625. In the will of Máire Rua in 1686 it notes how her goods were disposed at the time of her death: “To my nephew Mahon McEnerhinyeys daughter 3 cows”. For Mahon to be the nephew of Máire Rua (born c.1615) then Mahon was a generation later than the Mahon recorded in the 1659 ‘census’ and who held Ballysallagh East in 1641. On Máire Rua’s birth date see Máire MacNeill, Máire Rua. Lady of Leamno (1617)."[certain intelligence given by Tibbett mcRiarch of Moinehore the 24 May 1619"] No.122, (unsorted Bundle) C.13/35.


121 Con[al]ar left a will in 1677. He was residing at Knocknamard in Killeen parish. Morris Crossle, Index of Irish Wills 1483-1856, National Archives of Ireland, ref.5/168, Will No.84.


123 Genealogical Office, Dublin, Ms 220-222 Milesian Pedigrees II, p.49.

124 R. Simington, Books of Survey and Distribution, p.131. Loughlin held Kilmahon (part of Knockslattery) in joint with the Earl of Thomond. This is evidence that the Earl had, after 1619, re-asserted his ownership to part of the lands which were originally mortgaged to Richard Roe McEnerhiny by the third Earl of Thomond. See Petworth House Archives, Chichester, “Tibbott McRiarch Confession in 1619 – touching my Lds right to Lands out of his possession about Belahan” [“certain intelligence given by Tibbett mcRiarch of Moinehore the 24 May 1619”] No.122, (unsorted Bundle) C.13/35.

attempt to distil key points and examples that can be unearthed amongst contemporary documents. Given the limitation of primary documents we can only deduce general details from two Chancery Court bills.

Under the Irish system of jurisprudence (brehon law) during the sixteenth century the *ceannfín* had the right to divide lands and allocate them amongst *deirbhshíne* kinsmen based on seniority, while assigning to himself, or his preferred heir, the largest share of the lands. Depending on prevailing customs this division took place on the death of a coheir (but annual redistribution of sept-lands was still practiced)\(^{126}\) and was a mixed arrangement incorporating elements of Irish ‘gavelkind’ or partible inheritance, and primogeniture that sought to retain common ownership of sept-lands among men of the ruling lineage.\(^{127}\) This course was practiced in Thomond and Connacht and also in Offaly.\(^{128}\)

In Gaelic Thomond the redistribution of lands amongst *deirbhshíne* kinsmen gave way to a recognition of a form of ‘primogeniture’ which gave males of a deceased elder brother preference in partitions over their senior but cadet uncles.\(^{129}\) This method of primogeniture was provided for in early Irish law—*rannaid ósar, do goa simser* (‘the junior divides, the senior chooses’)\(^{130}\)—but is confused due to the difficulty in distinguishing theory from practice.\(^{131}\) The early Irish law texts were by the sixteenth century anachronistic, while the study of Roman (civil) law was a better guide to the actual practice of law by the brehon class. Seniority did count when it came to land redistribution in Thomond and operated alongside other arrangements including redemption of mortgaged lands. In general the re-allocation of sept-land occurred on the death of a coheir, but this is by no means definite as evidence also points to annual divisions happening each Mayday.\(^{132}\)

The practical operation of inheritance rested on several factors, including the internal hierarchy of the sept-lineage and historic divisions of sept-land; genealogical position of agnatic *deirbhshíne* kinsmen to the ruling lineage of the sept; presence of any minors on the death of a senior coheir; and the role of common law. Whilst a diversity of customs can be adduced from surviving documents for Thomond, two Court of Chancery bills from c.1623 relate specifically to Kilnasoolagh parish and detail the inheritance of the McClancy brehon lineage.

---

\(^{126}\) See, for example, the annual division that prevailed on the Mac Mathghamhna estate in County Clare prior to 1576. Gearóid Mac Níocaill, “Seven Irish Documents from the Inchiquin Archives”, *Analecta Hibernica*, No.26, Dublin, pp.47-69:49.

\(^{127}\) According to Katherine Simms, if a lord or ruling family had the opportunity of preserving inheritance within a small family unit, then they did so. This view illustrates the pragmatic nature of inheritance in Gaelic regions, despite the arcane rules of lineage-based inheritance set out in canons of authoritative law texts dating from the eight century. Katherine Simms cited in Mary O'Dowd, *Power, Politics and Land: Early Modern Sligo 1568-1688*, pp.71.


\(^{130}\) Gearóid Mac Níocaill, “Seven Irish Documents in the Inchiquin Archives”, p.49.

\(^{131}\) Mary O’Dowd, “Gaelic Economy and Society”, p.126.

\(^{132}\) An annual division may Mayday appears to have occurred on the O’Kelly lands in Galway. Kenneth W. Nicholls, “Some Documents on Irish Law and Customs in the Sixteenth Century”, p.106. The redemption of land on Mayday was also practiced at a late date in Thomond. See the 1614 deed in Irish of the Mac Mathghamhna family of Clanderalaw in Co Clare in Gearóid Mac Níocaill, “Seven Irish Documents from the Inchiquin Archives”, p.59.
The parties in this suit belonged to the leading McClancy lineage of Tradraighe who occupied the tower-houses of Castlekeale, Urslanmore, Urslan Beg and Clonloghan in 1570. Partial illegibility of the bills limits making detailed conclusions, but the form of inheritance operating on the McClancy sept-estate appears to be division based on seniority of brothers on the death of a coheir as members were “seised thereof by division, according to the custom of the country”. The bills infer that the division of the sept-estate favored the eldest brother and that mortgaging of lands were subject to the power of redemption. The peculiar Gaelic practice of redemption allowed the possibility that land could be reincorporated into the stock of land of the sept, upholding the principle of common land proprietorship. We do not have a complete picture of specific arrangements and whether they underwent revision after the introduction of common law in 1577. These surviving bills may, however, reflect local arrangements in the late sixteenth and early seventeenth century.

Using the above as a template it is possible to make some observations regarding the dispute over the McEnerhiny sept-estate. On the death of John in 1565 his son Mahon, then aged 17, was locked in a tussle over possession of the sept-estate with his older cousin Mahon son of Loghleen. The 1579 inquisition indicates that Mahon, the 17-year-old heir of John, was ousted immediately from the lands. This opportunistic act on behalf of the junior branch of the deirbhshine illustrates the precarious position of a minor heir. Alternatively, the dispute may have arisen due to the customary division of constituent subdivisions of sept-land reallocated on the death of John. Under this scenario eligibility, as in the case of the neighbouring McClancy sept at Ballysallagh West, was based on seniority and Mahon, a minor heir with marginal backing and power, was passed over in favour of his senior kinsmen.

Mahon son of Loghleen died in 1572 and was then in possession of the three disputed townlands. Mahon son of Loghleen was of fourth generation descent from Tomás—the common ancestor of the rival McEnerhiny branches—and this fact placed his heirs on the margins of eligibility under Irish law. This may have been the catalyst behind the preemptive seizure of the sept-lands on the death of John given the need to secure the sept-lands as Mahon son of Loghleen’s claim to the lands was increasingly fraught under the four generation requirement. Conversely, the dispossessed Mahon who argued at the 1606 inquisition that his father John was the “true owner” may have claimed his title to the land under primogenitor inheritance. In 1617 Mahon’s eldest son John was confirmed as his heir-at-law as a pedigree lodged in Dublin confirmed Mahon’s death in 1617 and John’s inheritance of Ballykilty. This is a prime example of a Gaelic freeholder conscious of his position and anxious to obtain confirmation of title and lands.

134 Chancery Bills: Survivals from pre-1922 Collection, J (undated Bills) No.55, National Archives of Ireland.
135 Chancery Bills: Survivals from pre-1922 Collection, K (undated Bills) No.11, National Archives of Ireland.
136 The adoption of common law primogeniture inheritance was often an English veneer on Gaelic arrangements and freeholders used alternative methods such as conveying land in trust to ensure minor heirs had an interest in the sept-estate. Patrick Nugent, “The interface between the Gaelic clan system of Co. Clare and the emerging centralising English nation-state in the late sixteenth and early seventeenth century”, p.90.
137 James Frost, The History and Topography of the County of Clare, p.280.
138 Genealogical Office, Dublin, Ms 220-222, Milestone Pedigrees II, p.40. It is noteworthy that only Ballykilty is mentioned perhaps indicating Ballykilty was the principal residence and mansal estate of the head of the sept. In 1641 Ballysallagh East was jointly held by five McEnerhiny kinsmen. Two of whom, Mahon and Edmond, were probably the younger son’s of Mahon (d.1617) as in the 1620s they had land dealings with Dutch settler James Martin along with
The dispute over the McNerhiny sept-land shows the capacity for conflict amongst the kinfolk of Gaelic lineages. As the Court of Chancery bill makes clear the stakes were high, given the economic potential of the sept-estate (£1000) and Mahon's willingness to use a gallowglass to enforce his claim. It is difficult to ascertain land inheritance customs that operated in Kilnasoolagh, but surviving documentation suggests a division of sept-land on the death of a coheir and the importance of seniority in determining constituent divisions of sept-land.\textsuperscript{139} The system of partible inheritance was essentially one of joint proprietorship by a landholding lineage group whose rights were corporate and vested in the ruling segment of the sept.

**Concluding Remarks**

This survey of the McNerhiny sept-lineage of Ballysallagh has presented primary documentation that throw light on landholding at the local level in a Gaelic lordship. The significance of this is that it occurs in a lordship on the cusp of profound social and political change—prior to the 1585 Composition of Connacht and strengthening of the Earl of Thomond's powers. The corpus of available material allows only general conclusions to be reached regarding inheritance practices in the late sixteenth century Mac Conmara lordship of West Clann Chuiléin.

Ballysallagh East has been identified as the principal abode of the McNerhiny sept in the sixteenth century. The McNerhinys, with a historic genealogical connection to the ruling Mac Conmara Fionn clan, were among the leading freeholders of the West Clann Chuiléin lordship with a sizable sept-estate in one of the most fertile districts in Thomond. Documentary evidence gives credence to the suggestion that the sept had some historic connection, perhaps originally as an 'erenagh lineage', to the termon lands in Kilnasoolagh parish, though what form this constituted is difficult to now quantify.

The survival of primary documentation allows a micro-study to be undertaken into the inheritance of the McNerhinys. The tussle over sept-lands was typically a high stakes game and the killing of Loghlen McNerhiny in 1573 had all the trappings of a traditional dispute—employment of a gallowglass mercenary, kinship as a determinant in landholding and the precarious position of a minor heir. Reading between the lines it is possible to also comment that there existed a powerful incentive for deirbhshine kinsmen on the margins of eligibility to act opportunistically and displace a young heir. In this context, it should be remembered that the capacity for conflict over land was never far from the surface in sixteenth century Ireland and the general propensity of low-level anarchy in late medieval lordships—especially those under stress from external threats—goes a long way in explaining violent outcomes.\textsuperscript{140}

This survey began by describing the sources employed in developing a more complete picture of a sept-lineage. While research into sixteenth century Gaelic lordships

---

\textsuperscript{139} This does not presuppose that similar arrangements operated at the level of small nucleated families.

has come a long way over the last few decades, much remains to be done. New information can be revealed by dedicated scholarship that is regional-specific and which can provide a nuanced account of landholding and lineage: two topics fundamental in understanding Gaelic lordships. This under-explored field presents the historian a fertile base in which to develop a specific line of enquiry into continuity and change in Gaelic lordships and how this manifested at the local level.