The origins of the Australian people cannot simply be explained in terms of abstract economic forces propelling the surplus population of the British Isles towards the vacant spaces of the New World. Such forces may help us understand migration in general, without explaining the decision of a few hundred thousand Irish emigrants to choose Australia. The Irish origins of nineteenth-century Australians were highly localised, depending upon the enterprise of scattered organisers and pioneers who managed to persuade neighbours and kinsfolk that the greater risks associated with transplantation to Australia were worth taking. Australia’s peopling had no unifying theme, and its narration requires study of disparate local initiatives with limited relevance to other periods or places. One thread in Australia’s story is the migration from north Limerick of several hundred settlers through the ingenuity of Thomas Spring Rice, 1st Baron Monteagle of Brandon.

J. Noblesse Oblige

The Spring Rices of Mount Trenchard, on the south bank of the Shannon estuary near Foynes, were moderate landowners with an immoderate sense of public duty. In the 1870s the 2nd Baron Monteagle was returned as the seventh greatest landlord in Co. Limerick, with an estate valued at £5,046 per annum and covering 6,445 acres. Though he owned additional property in Kerry, Monteagle was not among the 305 landowners with Irish estate of 10,000 acres or more. The Spring Rices were a family of substance rather than magnificence, whose public prominence was achieved by effort rather than birthright. As Stephen Gwynn observed, they were ‘by no means the traditional hardriding, fox-hunting, convivial country gentry, whose sons have constantly distinguished themselves in war and not seldom in administration. The Spring Rices were methodical people, diligent officials, with a high sense of public duty; and their tastes were for the more cultivated pleasures.’

Sir Stephen Rice had become Chief Baron of the Irish Exchequer in 1687, while two years later Asheaston was represented in the ‘Patriot Parliament’ by Edward Rice. Three of the 1st Lord Monteagle’s sons became senior civil servants, while his grandson, Sir Cecil Arthur Spring Rice, was Britain’s controversial ambassador in Washington during the First World War.

Thomas, alone of his line in modern time, achieved political distinction. Before his elevation in 1839 he represented Limerick City from 1820, and Cambridge from 1832, serving in various Whig administrations as Under-Secretary of State for Home Affairs, Treasury Secretary, Secretary of State for War and the Colonies, and Chancellor of the Exchequer. As we shall show, his familiarity with the workings of Westminster and Whitehall was to serve him well when he set about removing his surplus peasantry to Australia. Study of his political life may also illuminate the broader social preoccupations that prompted him to
become an organiser as well as advocate of systematic emigration. In politics Spring Rice was a Whig reformer with liberal but not radical inclinations, whose support for the Union and for Irish reform was equally strong. He first achieved fame as a crusader against the malpractices of the Limerick corporation, being pictured by a local admirer in 1820, wand in hand, as trampling with his top boots upon the hydra of corruption. He censured abuses of the grand jury system, deplored proselytism with its tendency 'to embitter the religious animosities and internal discord of Ireland', and berated Lord Liverpool in 1827 for failing to grant Catholic Emancipation: 'The refusal is that of England; the demand being that of the Irish nation.' Yet when charged with opposing O'Connell's demand for repeal of the Union in April, 1834, Spring Rice spoke glowingly of Ireland's economic and social advance since its release from the old Irish parliament, which 'of all Parliaments that ever existed, ... was the most corrupt and the most subservient.' His enthusiasm bubbled over into a phrase for which he was never forgiven, that 'I should prefer the name of West Britain to that of Ireland.' Though his intention was to emphasise that Ireland was no more 'a province of Great Britain' than England, Scotland or Wales, his adversaries in the debate made adroit use of Spring Rice's faux pas. Dominick Ronayne (Clonmel) likened him to Castlereagh, as 'an Irishman or West Briton - as he chooses to call himself - who is the first to propose that the Union with England shall be maintained inviolable? O'Connell expressed his indignation with characteristically feline malice: 'I admit, as a proof of the prosperity of Limerick, there is a new square there - it has a statue in the centre, too, but, then, I believe there is not a single house in Rice's Square. Upon the pedestal of that statue the people ought to write "the wonderful West Briton". - What a fortune he would make if he could get that statue, and bring it to every fair in Ireland, as a show for a shilling.' Spring Rice won the vote, but lost much of his nationalist following.

The Ireland of his dreams would have contained a contented people guided by benevolent mentors such as himself, backed up by reforming governments dissociated from past misrule. In 1815, when still in his twenties, he wrote of 'the elevated duties of the Irish country gentleman; ... It is a sphere of personal privation, and of personal exertion. But, when a mind is awake to all of its delights, the power of becoming extensively and permanently useful, all privations are forgotten, all labour is well repaid. A peasantry capable of improvement, and grateful for every benevolent assistance, look up to the landlord as to a protector and friend. He may not only assist their distresses, but may enable them to assist themselves.'

Yet all too often, Ireland's social harmony was disturbed by renewed acts of misgovernment, such as the obtuse measures of famine relief introduced by Lord John Russell's administration in late 1846. It was a raging Irishman rather than a cringing West Briton who berated Charles Trevelyan of the Treasury as follows:

The sword of conquest passed through our land but a century and a half back - insurrections in 1798 and 1803 - partial outbreaks at later times - tithes collected at the bayonet point - penal laws continued till 1829, and then reluctantly repealed - these things have destroyed our country - have degraded our people, and you, English, now shrink from your responsibilities; you keep gabbling about the incompetency of the Celtic race and the injustice of Irish landlords; ... remember a Wilberforce said that England owes us a debt for the wrongs of centuries; endeavour to repay it, not by pauperising us, but
Mount Trenchard, home of the Spring Rice family.

by raising us above our present condition.\(^{10}\) The politician was appalled at the thought of separation, but the Irish gentleman was often more appalled by the consequences of Union. In his ambivalence and extravagance of response, Thomas Spring Rice was a characteristic 'Anglo-Irishman'.

The 1st Lord's quirky paternalism and eruptive Irishness were as much family as personal traits. His eldest son Stephen expressed his moral earnestness not only in Wordsworthian sonnets and conversations with his fellow Apostles from Cambridge, but also in organising and administering Famine relief. As indignant as his father at English vilification of his class, he asked rhetorically: 'What was the life led by an Irish squire at that time? You might have seen him leaving home before daylight, that sunrise may find him within his relief district, into the destitution of which he has to inquire. ... But he does not go home to rest. His whole night, and far into the next morning, is occupied in reducing into an available form the rough memoranda of each case which he has collected in the daytime.'\(^{12}\)

Stephen's eldest son, Thomas, who became 2nd Baron Monteagle, shared the vision of his forbears. He prayed that an enlightened upper class might collaborate in local administration with a carefully nurtured middle class, so averting social revolution. In 1883 he defined that 'upper class' as 'a resident gentry, bound by ties of common interest, personal knowledge, and mutual good feeling, to those around them, and at the same time enabled by their position to command a wider range of vision, and to place at the service of the public an intelligence trained by higher cultivation and attainments and by a deeper hold upon principles -- trusted stewards of public interests in local affairs.'\(^{13}\) Like so many resident gentry, Monteagle advocated peasant proprietorship rather than 'dual ownership', involving progressive rent reductions which implied that landlords had 'universally and systematically rack-rented their tenants'.\(^{14}\) In 1879 Monteagle had provoked unrest on his own estate by refusing abatement of rent for the majority of his tenants, whose burden had remained unchanged over the preceding decade of prosperity. His response to agricultural crisis, which he confidently predicted would never again generate 'such a national disaster as the potato famine', was to stimulate employment by initiating works of improvement.\(^{15}\)

Any one of these three Spring Rices might have served as the model Irish gentleman depicted in Noblesse Oblige by Horace Plunkett, with whom the younger Thomas worked closely as a founder and president of the Irish Agricultural Organisation Society.\(^{16}\) With his sister Alice and daughter Mary, the 2nd Lord created an amazing array of cooperative ventures at Foynes and Mount Trenchard, including industry, poultry and credit societies, sawmill, wheat-growing cooperative, wholesaling depot, library, workmen's club and branch of the United Irishwomen. His colleague Robert Anderson recalled that 'perhaps he was not a man of outstanding ability, but he was a man of fine education, of stainless integrity and honour, brave but always gentle and conciliatory. ... He used to remind me of a benevolent eagle. He was very tall and slight.'\(^{17}\) By contrast, his grandfather was remembered as 'a nobleman of high intellectual attainments' who was also 'short
in stature to the point of inviting caricature. Short or tall, brilliant or merely worthy, the Spring Rices laboured earnestly to make Limerick and Ireland more prosperous and more harmonious.

II. The Spring Rices And Their Set

The patrician mentality should be interpreted in its social as well as lineal setting. Not surprisingly, the Spring Rice family intermarried with other north Limerick gentry of similar social, intellectual and moral outlook. The accompanying chart illustrates the most persistent of these entwinements, with the Curraghchase Hunts (renamed de Vere in 1832) and the O'Briens of Cahirmoyle. Both seats were fairly close to Mount Trenchard, being 11 miles east and 8 miles south-east in turn. Neither family matched the Spring Rices as landowners, though the de Veres possessed 4,163 acres valued at £2,108, while the O'Briens owned 4,990 acres worth £3,630 per annum. As the chart shows, Thomas's sister Mary married Sir Aubrey Hunt, his first wife's cousin; while his grand-daughter Mary was first wife to Edward O'Brien, who retained control of the Cahirmoyle estate even after his father William Smith O'Brien had returned home following penal servitude in Van Diemen's Land. These three families were further enmeshed by two alliances between de Veres and O'Briens, one of whose branches eventually acquired both the surname de Vere and the Curraghchase estate.

These three families of resident gentry provided the core of a social set within which hospitality, good turns and earnest talk were constantly exchanged. The 1st Lord Montague's portrait was hung at Curraghchase, where 'in advanced life' as in youth its subject was accustomed to play Mozart's flute sonatas to his sister's accompaniment. At various times Foynes and its island provided homes for members of all three families, so that when the young Douglas Hyde toured the district in 1891 he paid successive calls on Charlotte Grace O'Brien at Ard-an-oir, the Montagelies at Mount Trenchard, and Stephen de Vere on Foynes Island where Hyde killed a white rabbit at forty yards, and a crow. Naturally, the Spring Rices' set was not restricted to de Veres and O'Briens, extending to other hospitable and cultivated Limerick families such as the Quins of Adare and the Moneills of Tervoe. Few regions of Ireland could have boasted of so dense a concentration of liberal-minded and educated patrician families, so unlike the common run of philistine Irish rentiers.

The composition of the Spring Rice set was not the product of shared origins, religion or party affiliation. The Rices were early Tudor settlers in Kerry, the O'Briens, one of whose branches eventually acquired property in Limerick as Elizabethan 'undertakers'; the O'Briens proudly traced their genealogy to Brian Boru; whereas the founder of the Irish Hunts was a Cromwellian officer descended in a female line from the de Veres (Earls of Oxford). Fine distinctions between Cromwellian, Old English and Gaelic origins counted for little in the social fabric of the enlightened Limerick gentry. These families shared a common fascination with religion rather than a common orthodoxy, and were highly responsive to the debates and questionings associated with Newman's drift towards Rome. Two sons of Sir Aubrey de Vere and a daughter of William Smith O'Brien were converted to the Roman Catholic Church in middle life, as were Edwin Wyndham-Quin (3rd Earl of Dunraven) and his brother-in-law William Monsell (1st Lord Emly). Stephen Spring Rice rejected the 'formality' of the Newmanites and resisted conversion, but remained an engrossed Anglo-Catholic, given to composition of sonnets with such titles as 'Edification (On the Baptism of an Infant in St. Peter's)', issues of doctrine and church organisation provoked earnest but friendly disputation among the Limerick literati: excited by common questions, they had wit enough to arrive at various answers. The same applied in politics. Montagle's brother-in-law, Sir Aubrey Hunt, who stood unsuccessfully for Limerick County in 1820, identified himself not as a Whig but as 'a Liberal Tory, or a Canningite', who nevertheless supported Catholic Emancipation and moderate parliamentary reform. William Smith O'Brien, who represented Ennis between 1828 and 1831 as well as Limerick County between 1835 and 1849, was successively a Tory, Whig, Repealer and Confederate, a progression which alienated most of his kinsfolk, including his wife Lucy and son Edward. The last member of the circle to represent the county in parliament was Stephen de Vere between 1854 and 1859, when he sat with his fellow-Liberal and fellow-convert, William Monsell. Yet their successors remained politically active outside the House of Commons at both local and national level. The Spring Rice set worked hard at the turn of the century, when 'conciliation' of the classes seemed for a moment possible, to promote industrial regeneration and prove their patriotism by practical effort. While the 4th Earl of Dunraven promoted land purchase and devolution, and the 2nd Lord Emly championed the...
Labour cause in Limerick City, Edward O’Brien and his son Dermot worked with Montagle and Plunkett to foster cooperative agriculture in the county.\(^{28}\)

As social and political conflict intensified in the early twentieth century, the questioning spirit of the Spring Rice circle generated unexpected and radical responses to that challenge. William Smith O’Brien’s daughter Charlotte became a Parnellite as well as a Roman Catholic in the 1880s, while her niece Nellie O’Brien supported Sinn Féin, founded the Irish College at Carrigaholt in Co. Clare, and represented the Gaelic League on the Irish Guild of the Church in Ireland.\(^{29}\)

Common interests in yachting as well as militant nationalism brought members of all three families together in the summer of 1914, when running guns for the Irish Volunteers provided better sport than Hyde’s crows or white rabbits. Mary Spring Rice concocted the first plan for illegal importation of arms from Germany, using an old trading smack based at Foynes, though, after inspecting the vessel, her friend Erskine Childers decided to use his own yacht, the Asgard. The load was shared by the Kelpie, sailed by Mary’s cousin Conor O’Brien (whom she considered ‘useless at a crisis’) with his sister Kitty and two sailors from Foynes. Mary, Conor and his close kinsman Hugh Vere O’Brien all subscribed towards the purchase of the arms landed at Howth and Kilcoole, while Mary herself cre- wed for Childers on the Asgard. Kitty O’Brien was ‘as good as a man’, perhaps the highest compliment that her fellow-sailor Diarmid Coffey could bestow upon a woman; while Mary Spring Rice was variously described by her crew as ‘a wonder’ who ‘was hardly ill at all and looks and is most useful’, and as ‘so splendid – such a help, such a good sailor, so brave and unshrink- ing’. Mary had a jolly voyage, would have been ‘horribly disappointed’ if the Arms Proclamation had been rescin- ded, and, after landing the weapons, capped her adventure with a sociable luncheon, and tea at the United Arts Club.\(^{30}\)

Mid-way between the landings at Howth and Kilcoole, Hugh Vere O’Brien was supervising the landing of 150 rifles smuggled to Foynes by the Limerick and Claremen of New York. He was among the first gentlemen to join the Irish Volunteers, and, having two residences at Ballyalla (Ennis) and Monare (Foynes), he helped drill the Volunteers of both counties.\(^{31}\)

Nine days before the gun-running Hugh’s mother had expressed disquiet about his activities: ‘He had asked me if I should mind the Volunteers coming over to drill on the Island – adding as an inducement that Montagle had lent them the Memorial Hall in Foynes. It goes against my inclination to refuse Hugh anything but I did not like the idea at all,’\(^{32}\)

Though Hugh protested that the Volunteers to which he and his cousin Conor belonged were ‘not political’, involvement in the movement was often a prelude to broader entanglements. Conor O’Brien was to become an Inspector of Fisheries for the 2nd Dáil; while Mary Spring Rice lent a boat to the West Limerick Brigade of the I.R.A., carried its messages to Dublin, and was rewarded at her funeral in 1924 by a guard of honour representing Labour, the I.R.A. and the Gaelic League.\(^{33}\)

The political melodrama which excited that generation of Limerick gentry had its roots in the romantic and backward-looking nationalism which had so engrossed their nineteenth-century predecessors. Sir Aubrey de Vere composed an admirable if interminable ‘Lamentations of Ireland’, which his son Aubrey matched in his epic ‘Inis-fall’ and other works treated with respect by Auden as well as Yeats.\(^{34}\)

As the genealogical chart demonstrates, a remarkable number of Spring Rices, de Veres and O’Briens wrote verse which was eventually published. Much of that writing had no political or Irish reference, while the best-known work of Sir Cecil Spring Rice was that sententious hymn written on the eve of his departure from Washington in 1918, ‘I vow to thee, my country – all earthly things are but as dross compared . . .’, and his fellow-sailor Diarmid Coffey could bestow upon a woman; while Mary Spring Rice was variously described by her crew as ‘a wonder’ who ‘was hardly ill at all and looks and is most useful’, and as ‘so splendid – such a help, such a good sailor, so brave and unshrink- ing’. Mary had a jolly voyage, would have been ‘horribly disappointed’ if the Arms Proclamation had been rescin- ded, and, after landing the weapons, capped her adventure with a sociable luncheon, and tea at the United Arts Club.\(^{30}\)
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social revolution through constructive action, until at last the inexorable approach of that convulsion drew many sons and daughters of the gentry towards militant and sometimes illicit pursuit of nationality. Even the Earl of Dunraven, deaf and in his eightieth year, announced at dinner with Lord French and other Dublin Castle administrators at the height of the ‘Troubles’ that ‘he would declare himself a Republican if he wasn’t afraid to’. Three-quarters of a century earlier Thomas Spring Rice had adopted a similar tone of alienation and defiance in his claim to Trevelyan that mis-govern ment had ‘degraded our people, and you, English, now shrink from your responsibilities’. They, Irish, did not do so.

III. The Emigration Issue

It was a commonplace among the enlightened gentry that properly controlled emigration was essential for the redemption of Ireland; though, as Monteagle stressed in 1848, they ‘contemplated no system of emigration that would not be advantageous to the emigrant, acceptable to the colonies, and beneficial to the mother country’. Although north Limerick was not among Ireland’s most ‘congested’ regions, the menace of poverty even before the famine persuaded the Spring Rices and their circle that efficient management of estates required humane removal of ‘surplus’ population. In his Confederate days William Somerville O’Brien reiterated his unpopular view that ‘to lay the foundations of an Irish colony in another hemisphere is surely no ignoble task, even for an Irish patriot’. In January, 1847, he proposed that up to 50,000 families should be sent annually to the colonies, at the joint expense of the state, the colonists and the regions of origin. In 1842 and 1847 his brother Sir Lucius and sister Grace provided loans and outfit for emigrants from the Dromoland estate; while his daughter Charlotte Grace conducted a celebrated campaign during the Land War for the better supervision and more protection of female emigrants leaving Queenstown. Though ‘utterly opposed to the English policy of state-aided emigration’, she set about facilitating voluntary movement by opening and running an emigrant hostel at Queenstown, prompting an official inquiry into the vulnerability to male intrusion of sleeping female passengers, touring America to organise reception facilities for Irish girls, and exploiting social and family contacts to seek legislative reform. After a steereage journey from Liverpool to Queenstown, she enlisted her brother’s children as intermediaries:

‘Tell Uncle Monteagle that I have a new point for him to fight on in the need to have the law revised.’

The de Veres responded likewise to the emigration issue. Aubrey Thomas de Vere regarded the provision of state as well as landlord assistance to emigrants as ‘a debt of honour on the part of the State’. He considered that a chronic poverty weighed down a vast population wholly out of proportion to the means of subsistence; a ‘heroic’ measure of State-aided emigration could alone have met that evil, ... but the strong head and the strong hand needed for such a work were not found. His brother Stephen contributed heavily to the reform of passenger legislation as a result of his own steerage passage to Quebec in 1847. De Vere paid for the passages of 150 labourers and others from Curraghchase, supervised the first batch himself, and personally nursed them upon arrival in fever-ridden Quebec. His chilling accounts of conditions on shipboard and on arrival at Grosse Isle had a devastating public impact, skilfully fanned by the elder Uncle Montague. As the secretary to the Emigration commissioners, de Vere had made the dumb to speak. De Vere hoped not only to improve the conditions of passage, but also to prompt ‘a great effort by the state, the counties, the landlords, and the people, in combination, to locate elsewhere the hands that cannot here be paid for their labour, and the mouths that cannot otherwise be fed.’
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of Lords. He declared that 'emigration was now absolutely demanded by the present distressed state of the Irish population; and the question was simply, whether that stream of emigration ought to be directed to the shores of this country, or towards our colonial possessions?' After reminding Grey of his past advocacy of state funding, Monteagle rehearsed the benefits of colonization to both the colonies and Ireland, which as all Irish landlords and gentlemen agreed 'ought to be held bound to furnish a reasonable portion of the cost of that benefit'. Monteagle spoke mainly of British North America, but added that 'the Australian colonies presented an almost unlimited field for colonization, and an encouraging example of successful enterprise', despite recent financial crisis.\(^5\)

Grey replied discouragingly that emigration could only be 'subsidiary to other measures now in progress' as a palliative for Irish poverty, while schemes like Godley's should be let 'slumber upon dusty shelves'. Colonisation might benefit the colonies, but could not 'at once seriously diminish the pressure of destitution in Ireland'.\(^6\) Nevertheless, Grey did not oppose formation of Monteagle's select committee, which submitted four reports of which the last was dated August, 1848.\(^3\)

In that month Monteagle's acrimonious altercation with Grey was resumed when the Colonial Secretary introduced a brief debate on Australian emigration, without offering any promise of further state funding. Monteagle zestfully lampooned Grey's 'small and miserable scheme', voicing the outlook of a Whig patrician rather than a modern Liberal by declaring that 'the laissez faire system of his noble Friend was an absurdity'.\(^4\) Thereafter Monteagle directed much of his fire upon the Lord Lieutenant, the Earl of Clarendon, reminding him that the recent assisted emigration from the Crown estate at Ballykilcline, Co. Roscommon, had 'transformed into consumers of British manufactures abroad men, who would only have been White Boys and Molly Maguires without either principles or breeches at home'. In a long memorandum Monteagle advocated incentive subventions rather than full state funding, so limiting the cost to an annual charge of £180,000 on Irish land. He complained of discrimination against Ireland in provision for emigration to Australia, since the expensive voyage to Plymouth was unsubsidised, and proposed the appointment of an Irish emigration agent to act on behalf of those excellent public servants Murdoch and his colleagues (the Emigration Commissioners in London).\(^5\)

Clarendon pointedly replied that although as an Irish Proprietor you would have no difficulty in advocating the Project you might hesitate to propose it if you were still Chancellor of the Exchequer.\(^6\)

At first it seemed that Russell, upon Clarendon's urging, might implement some such scheme. Yet by January, 1849, Treasury opposition had aborted that hope, whereupon Russell sent Monteagle some fatuous words of commiseration: 'Do not despair of Irish Emigration - Every plan is difficult, but no plan is the most difficult of all. Yrs. truly J. Russell.' Clarendon was 'much dispirited' at Russell's capitulation, noting that Grey's rival 'Canadian plan' had also been ditched in response to colonial opposition.\(^7\) The miniscule scale of state funding through the poor rates and colonial land funds continued to disgust Monteagle, but his reiterated protests met with ever cooler responses from Clarendon.\(^8\) The moment for the 'heroic' solution for Irish poverty had passed.

IV: Monteagle's Australian Emigrants

Though the political influence of Monteagle and his circle proved insufficient
to sway state policy, it was adeptly exercised to direct much of the scarce official funding actually provided towards the 'surplus' population of North Limerick. It seems that about 600 emigrants from the Spring Rice estate were despatched to Australia between 1837 and 1857, of whom a third appear in the voluminous correspondence preserved in their benefactor's archive. These testimonials deserve more systematic reproduction and analysis than is attempted here. Monteagle's manner of assisting his emigrants was unusual in that he provided only modest subsidies or loans to supplement the free passage that he arranged with the Emigration Commissioners. By the early 1850s his second wife was supervising allocations of these subsidies, corresponding constantly with the Emigration Commissioners about particular candidates, and holding morning levées to prepare the emigrants for their adventure. The Monteagles had themselves become, in effect, the Irish emigration agency which Thomas had called for in 1848.

Funding Monteagle's emigrants was a highly personal process of cooperation involving civil servants, landlord, prospective emigrants and their kinsfolk in both Limerick and Australia. As Lady Monteagle's housekeeper reported in about 1853:

"Cornelius [Sullivan] is most anxious about getting out says they have recently sent - that he could pay 5 or 6£ for his passage if your ladyship can't get him out at the usual sum 2£ - his Sister and Cousin would dread going without him - he says their Relations in Australia have purchased 15-100 acres of land - and want to get them all there - as they can spare the money to send - Sydney is the place they want to go." Through delicate handling of tenant susceptibilities and civil service punctilio, the Monteagles managed to prevent the Limerick estate from becoming a 'wretched pauper warren', without incurring the cry of 'inhumanity' as they consolidated farms. As Patrick O'Farrell remarks, 'he was properly believed a benevolent landlord, but his motivation was not entirely altruistic'. His aim, which he evidently accomplished, was to reorganise and improve his estate while retaining the devotion and gratitude of those who left it. The evidence of laudatory letters from emigrants, preserved and sometimes printed for circulation by a politician eager to document the popular demand for emigration to Australia, requires careful interpretation. Dissatisfied emigrants doubtless held their peace, while those who wrote to the Monteagles may have exaggerated

The Limerick Corporation, which had existed since 1197, was dissolved in 1840, following Thomas Spring Rice's petition to the House of Commons. This cartoon of the time describes Rice as 'An Infallible Remedy for corrupt Bodies'.
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Between 1832 and 1836, state assistance for female emigrants to New South Wales and Van Diemen's Land was supervised by voluntary emigration committees, such as the Cork committee which issued this call for emigrants in 1836 (copy in State Pap Office, Dublin). The committee included Catholic as well as Protestant clergy, landowners, land agents, and Cork merchants of many political groupings (some with reformist views akin to Spring Rice's).

their success in order to encourage further emigration and exaggerated their gratitude in order to curry favour. In his commentary on the correspondence, O'Farrell has emphasised the base motives of Monteagle's informants with their 'naked greed, selfishness and the desire to restrict the spoils of immigration to close relatives only'. The fact that one emigrant 'expressed back to Monteagle a landlord's view of the benefits of emigrations ... does not necessarily impugn his sincerity': but O'Farrell's reader is left uneasy. It would indeed be surprising to detect unblemished altruism and self-denial in either landlord or tenant at any period, especially amidst the 'roars of anger and cries of suffering' which Stephen Spring Rice associated with the famine in Foyles. Less censoriously, one might marvel at the ingenuity with which the Monteagles manipulated a flawed system, and with which their beneficiaries forged a livelihood in Australia and coaxed out their kinsfolk to form family networks in unfamiliar surroundings.

In March, 1848, Patrick Danaher, the correspondent whose sincerity O'Farrell has called into question, reported that Monteagle's female emigrants were 'all in respectable places' in Melbourne, earning 25 or 26 shillings weekly, while the men had found jobs in bricklaying or public works at 4/6 per diem. Those longer established in Port Phillip had done still better by marrying an hotel-keeper, saving enough 'as exempts him from personal labour', keeping a grocery or 'living independently in the Country'. Five years later, Danaher referred to several Monteagle emigrants who had done moderately well in the diggings, underlining his own success by momentarily concealing his 'naked greed' in order to remit £13 to his 'poor remaining parent'. The generosity of the successful emigrants was illustrated by a letter from Wollongong (which was soon to be followed by £5 towards the passage of the writer's brother) which Monteagle showed to Nassau Senior in 1852: The comfort I can afford you is bread, butter and tea, honey, beef, pork and cabbage, potatoes and milk; a horse and cart to ride to Mass, or a horse bridle and saddle, which you please. If you come to me yourself and your son, we shall never see a poor day again.

Cornelius Sullivan's sisters in Geelong sent home £10 without laying down conditions as to the recipients, merely expecting 'one of the boys or two of them if they can come' and perhaps a girl:

Der brothers let nothing prevent ye to come out to thiss colony where you would think no more of five pounds than you would of one penny at home ... We feel very lonesome to be without a brother here in a foreign land Adieu farewell but not I hope for ever.

Like many of the correspondents, they bestowed blessings upon the Monteagles 'for sending us out to such a fertile Contrary all with gold by far better than farming or any other trade in the world.' Their loneliness and munificence ...
Bridget Barrett, whose sister had sent six pounds towards passages for her self and two brothers, most humbly petitioned Lord Monteagle that he might 'be humbly pleased to exercise your Lordship's powerful interest on their behalf with the Emigration Commissioners to grant them a passage.' James Raleigh received no less than £60 from his brother-in-law in Melbourne, with which he asked Monteagle to 'procure me a free passage'. Likewise, John Culhane was seeking mediation rather than money when he asked for his married daughter and her husband in London to accompany the residue of his family to Australia, at his own expense: 'Do you most respected Lady Mount Eagle lend your noble hand and heart to the good cause and I presume to say that my family which consists of six in number will live and die together in Melbourne.'

The story of Thomas Spring Rice and emigration to Australia offers heartening evidence that benevolence, self-interest and communal benefit were not incompatible, even under the stress of famine. Spring Rice, in common with many of north Limerick's resident gentry, persisted humbly and creatively to crisis, despite the failure of the state to undertake systematic colonisation. The same spirit of paternalism suffused other aspects of their estate management and local enterprise, and remained detectable in the support given in later generations to cooperative activity and even militant nationalism. It would be absurd to infer that the Spring Rices and their set were characteristic of the resident gentry of Ireland, let alone of the agents for absent landlords who determined policy on emigration and 'improvement' for most of the great estates. It would be equally absurd to dismiss Monteagle's benevolence as veiled exploitation, or to deny his delicacy in minimising the fracturing of social and familial networks caused by estate reorganisation. At times Monteagle despaired of his task, as in the midst of Famine when he ruefully remarked to Aubrey de Vere that 'I once knew a gentleman who was popular in this country - his name was Thomas Spring Rice.'

Yet it would be difficult to concoct a less appropriate epitaph for him than O'Connell's 'wonderful West Briton', unless perhaps that proposed in an earlier issue of this journal by Finbarr Crowe:

I see, but keep my stony silence,
Who would heed me if I spoke?
'Spring Rice, haughty Lord Monteagle
What can he know of Irish folk?'
Monteagle spoke a great deal, was widely if insufficiently heeded, and knew much about Irish folk. In passing, he also made a distinctive contribution to the formation of an Australian folk.
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